

The Gazette



of India

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 18]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MAY 2, 1959/VAISAKHA 12, 1881

NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 24th April 1959 :—

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
58	S.O. 835, dated 15th April, 1959.	Ministry of Commerce and Industry.	Re-appointment of Shri A. S. Rao as whole-time member of Forward Markets Commission.
59	S.O. 836, dated 15th April, 1959.	Ministry of Labour and Employment.	Award in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of North Chirimiri Colliery and their workmen.
60	S.O. 837, dated 20th April, 1959.	Ministry of Law	Appointment of Shri K. V.K. Sundaram, I.C.S., as Chief Election Commissioner.
	S.O. 838, dated 20th April, 1959.	Do.	Rules for regulating the conditions of Service etc. of Shri K. V. K. Sundaram, I.C.S. Chief Election Commissioner.
61	S.O. 839, dated 21st April, 1959.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of films specified therein.
62	S.O. 903, dated 24th April, 1959.	Ministry of Commerce & Industry.	Appointment of a body of persons for the purpose of making a complete investigation into the circumstances of the Model Mills, Nagpur.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administrations of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1959

S.O. 908.—Whereas the election of the person named in column 1 of the schedule below, as a member of the House of the People, from the constituency specified in the corresponding entry in column 3 thereof, has been called in question by two election petitions duly presented under part VI of the Representation of People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), by the persons whose names and addresses are given in the corresponding entries in column 2 of the said schedule;

And whereas the Election Commission has caused a copy each of the said petition to be published in the official gazette and has served a copy each thereof by post on the respondent under sub-section (1) of section 86 of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 86, 87 and 88 of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby appoints Shri T. P. Ghogale, District and Sessions Judge, Nagpur, as the member of the Election Tribunal for the trial of the said petitions and Nagpur as the place where the trial of the petitions shall be held.

SCHEDULE

Name of the persons whose elections has been called in question.	Name and address of the persons by whom the election petition has been presented.	constituency
1	2	3
Shri Madhava Shrechari Aney	Shri Lakshmikan Mahadeo Chakradeo, 318, Chorni Road, Bombay - 4	Nagpur
Shri Madhava Shrechari Aney	Shri Baburao Tatyaji, Bhonsle, Journalist, Badkas, Chowk, Nagpur-2.	Nagpur

[No. 82/4/59.]

DIN DAYAL, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 27th April 1959

S.O. 909.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 27 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878, (11 of 1878), the Central Government hereby exempts His Highness Jigme Wangchuk, the Maharaja of Bhutan, from the operation of the prohibitions and directions contained in section 6 of the said Act, in respect of one .357 bore Smith and Wesson revolver and 500 rounds of ammunition therefor.

[No. 17/2/59-P. IV]

C. P. S. MENON, Dy. Secy.

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 910—On page 945 of the Gazette of India Part II Section 3(ii) dated the 18th April, 1959, in line 3 of Ministry of Home Affairs Notification No S O 798 dated the 4th April, 1959,

for "in the House of the People (Lok Sabha) to fill the vacancy"

read "to fill the vacancy in the House of the People (Lok Sabha)"

[No F 15/1/59-K]

SHANKAR PRASAD, Secy

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi-2, the 18th April 1959

S.O. 911—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309, and clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution the President, after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor-General in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, hereby makes the following further amendments in the General Provident Fund (Central Services) Rules, namely—

In sub-rule (1) of rule 15 of the said Rules—

(1) in clause (a), after sub clause (iii), the following sub-clauses shall be inserted, namely—

"(iv) to meet the cost of legal proceedings instituted by the subscriber for vindicating his position in regard to any allegations made against him in respect of any act done or purporting to be done by him in the discharge of his official duty, the advance in this case being available in addition to any advance admissible for the same purpose from any other Government source

Provided that the advance under this sub clause shall not be admissible to a subscriber who institutes legal proceedings in any court of law either in respect of any matter unconnected with his official duty or against Government in respect of any condition of service or penalty imposed on him,

(v) to meet the cost of his defence where the subscriber is prosecuted by Government in any court of law in respect of any alleged official misconduct on his part",

(2) After clause (c), the following clause shall be inserted, namely—

"(d) Notwithstanding anything contained in clause (c), the advance to be sanctioned for the purposes of sub clause (iv) or sub-clause (v) of clause (a) shall not exceed three months' pay or Rs 500 whichever is greater, and shall in no case exceed half the amount at the credit of the subscriber"

[No F 23(9)-EV/57 GPF]

R S BERY, Dy Secy

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1959

S.O. 912.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 17th April, 1959.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

Liabilities	Rs.	Assets	Rs.
Capital paid up	5,00,00,000	Notes	13,53,37,000
Reserve Fund	80,00,00,000	Rupee Coin	1,73,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long-term Operations) Fund	25,00,00,000	Subsidiary Coin	4,17,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	3,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
Deposits :—		(a) Internal
(a) Government		(b) External
(1) Central Government	49,62,15,000	(c) Government Treasury Bills	27,39,53,000
(2) Other Governments	24,71,36,000	Balances held abroad*	32,81,01,000
(b) Banks	77,90,98,000	**Loans and Advances to Governments	38,16,89,000
(c) Others	117,96,12,000	Other Loans and Advances†	79,45,39,000
Bills Payable	24,43,86,000	Investments	246,06,84,000
Other Liabilities	42,52,23,000	Other Assets	12,62,77,000
TOTAL .	450,16,70,000	TOTAL .	450,16,70,000

*Includes Cash & Short term Securities. **Includes Temporary Overdrafts to State Governments.

†The item 'Other Loans and Advances' includes Rs. 9,64,44,000/- advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under Section 17 (4) (c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

Dated the 22nd day of April, 1959.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 17th day of April 1959.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

Liabilities	Rs.	Rs.	Assets	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	13,53,37,000		A. Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation	17,65,18,12,000		(a) Held in India	117,76,03,000	
Total Notes issued		1778,71,49,000	(b) Held outside India	
			Foreign Securities	178,00,89,000	
			TOTAL OF A.		295,76,92,000
			B. Rupee Coin		131,84,25,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		1351,10,32,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper
TOTAL—LIABILITIES		1778,71,49,000	TOTAL—ASSETS		1778,71,49,000

Dated the 22nd day of April, 1959.

K. G. AMBEGAOKAR,
Deputy Governor.

[No. F 3(2)-BC/59]

New Delhi, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 913.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 20 of the Indian Coinage Act, 1906 (3 of 1906), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Finance Department No D. 1506-F, dated the 12th February, 1942, namely:—

In Part II of the Schedule to the said notification, the following items shall be added at the end, namely:

"21. Bank of Mysore Ltd.

22. State Bank of Saurashtra."

[No. F. 2(24)—C&C/59.]

A. BAKSI, Jt. Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 25th April 1959

S.O. 914.—In pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 34 of the Industrial Finance Corporation Act, 1948 (15 of 1948), the Central Government, in consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, hereby appoints Messrs. S. Valdyanath Aiyar and Company, New Delhi, as one of the auditors of the Industrial Finance Corporation of India for the year ending with the 30th June, 1959.

[No. F. 2(77)-Corp/58.]

M. K. VENKATACHALAM, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 2nd May 1959

S.O. 915.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (37 of 1956), the President hereby declares—

(a) that from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Pradesh, the sums specified in column 4 of the Schedule annexed to this notification amounting in the aggregate to the sum of ten lakhs, fifty-five thousand, seven hundred and thirty-nine rupees shall be deemed to have been duly authorised to be paid and applied to meet the amount spent for defraying the charges in respect of the services specified in column 2 of the Schedule during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1952, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year; and

(b) that the sums deemed to have been authorised to be paid and applied from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Pradesh under this notification shall be deemed to have been appropriated for the services and purposes expressed in the Schedule in relation to the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1952.

THE SCHEDULE

Sr. No. (1)	Services & purposes (2)	Heads of Accounts (3)	Excess		
			(4)		
			Voted portion	Charged portion	Total
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Parliament and the State Legislature.	25-G.A. Parliament and the State Legislature .	8,22,783	..	8,22,783
2.	Miscellaneous (excluding expenditure on Displaced Persons).	57-Miscellaneous (excluding expenditure on Displaced Persons).	..	2,32,721	2,32,721
3.	Capital Outlay on Civil Works outside the Revenue Account.	81-Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account. .	..	235	235
	TOTAL		8,22,783	2,32,956	10,55,739

[No. F. 21(32-B/58)]

S.O. 916.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (37 of 1956), the President hereby declares—

- (a) that from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Pradesh, the sums specified in column 4 of the Schedule annexed to this notification amounting in the aggregate to the sum of sixty-eight lakhs, seventy-seven thousand, two hundred and fifty rupees shall be deemed to have been duly authorised to be paid and applied to meet the amount spent for defraying the charges in respect of the services specified in column 2 of the Schedule during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1953, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year; and
- (b) that the sums deemed to have been authorised to be paid and applied from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Pradesh under this notification shall be deemed to have been appropriated for the services and purposes expressed in the Schedule in relation to the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1953.

THE SCHEDULE

Sr. No (1)	Services and purposes (2)	Heads of Accounts (3)	Excess (4)		
			Voted portion Rs.	Charged portion Rs.	Total Rs.
1	State excise Duties	8—State Excise Duties	..	1,95,202	1,95,202
2	Registration	11—Registration	3,190	..	3,190
3	Inspection of Motor Vehicles.	12—Inspection of Motor Vehicles.	2,593	168	2,761
4	Other Taxes and Duties	13—Other Taxes and Duties	73,539	..	73,539
5	Heads of States, Ministers and Headquarters Establishment.	25—General Administration (Excluding State Legislature publicity Deptt. and Distt. Administration)	39,025	..	39,025
6	District Administration	25—General Administration-District Administration.	2,77,220	..	2,77,220
7	Police	29—Police	2,35,833	..	2,35,833
8	Aviation	44—Aviation	4,314	..	4,314
9	Civil Works	50—Civil Works	..	1,482	1,482
10	Pensions	55—Superannuation Allowances and pensions	3,22,365	..	3,22,365
11	Misc. (excluding expenditure on Displaced persons).	57—Misc. (Excluding expenditure on Displaced persons)	..	2,94,121	2,94,121
12	Capital Outlay on Civil Works outside the Revenue Account.	81—Capital outlay of Civil Works outside Revenue Account	..	408	408
13	Capital Outlay on Schemes of Govt. Trading.	85—A-Capital Outlay on State Schemes of Government Trading	54,27,790	..	54,27,790
TOTAL			63,85,869	4,91,381	68,77,250

S.O. 917.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (37 of 1956), the President hereby declares—

- (a) that from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Pradesh, the sums specified in column 4 of the Schedule annexed to this notification amounting in the aggregate to the sum of three crores, eighty-two lakhs, seventy thousand, three hundred and seventy-nine rupees shall be deemed to have been duly authorised to be paid and applied to meet the amount spent for defraying the charges in respect of the services specified in column 2 of the Schedule during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1954, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year; and
- (b) that the sums deemed to have been authorised to be paid and applied from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Pradesh under this notification shall be deemed to have been appropriated for the services and purposes expressed in the Schedule in relation to the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1954.

THE SCHEDULE

Sr. No. (1)	Services and purposes (2)	Heads of Accounts (3)	Excess		
			Voted portion Rs.	Charged portion Rs.	Total Rs.
1	Administration of Justice	27—Administration of Justice	5,763	..	5,763
2	Police	29—Police	2,78,315	..	2,78,315
3	Public Health	39—Public Health	48,228	..	48,228
4	Agriculture	40—Agriculture	57,30,210	..	57,30,210
5	Civil Works	50—Civil Works	2,03,97,959	..	2,03,97,959
6	Transfers to Development Fund.	64—A. Transfers to the Revenue (Reserve Development Fund)	1,18,09,900	..	1,18,09,900
7	Capital Outlay on civil works outside the Revenue Account	81—Capital Outlay of civil works outside the Revenue Account	..	4	4
TOTAL			3,82,70,375	4	3,82,70,379

[No. F. 21(32)-B/58]

S.O. 918.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (37 of 1956), the President hereby declares—

- (a) that from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Bharat, the sums specified in column 4 of the Schedule annexed to this notification amounting in the aggregate to the sum of six lakhs, sixteen thousand, five hundred and fifteen rupees shall be deemed to have been duly authorised to be paid and applied to meet the amount spent for defraying the charges in respect of the services specified in column 2 of the Schedule during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1952, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year; and
- (b) that the sums deemed to have been authorised to be paid and applied from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Bharat under this notification shall be deemed to have been appropriated for the services and purposes expressed in the Schedule in relation to the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1952.

THE SCHEDULE

Sr. No. (1)	Services and purposes (2)	Heads of Accounts (3)	Excesss (4)		
			Voted portion Rs.	Charged portion Rs.	Total Rs.
1	Stamps	9—Stamps	48,998	..	48,998
2	Interest on Debt and Other obligations.	22—Interest on Debt and other obligations	..	16,784	16,784
3	Police	29—Police	3,19,650	..	3,19,650
4	Public Health	39—Public Health	1,99,187	..	1,99,187
5	Miscellaneous Dpts.	47—Misc. Deptt.	31,874	..	31,874
6	Capital Outlay on Road Transport Schemes.	82—B—Capital Outlay on Road Transport Schemes	22	..	22
TOTAL			5,99,731	16,784	6,16,515

[No. F. 21(32)-B/58.]

S.O. 919.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (37 of 1956), the President hereby declares—

(a) that from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Bharat, the sums specified in column 4 of the Schedule annexed to this notification amounting in the aggregate to the sum of nine lakhs, eighty-one thousand, and seven hundred and sixty-one rupees shall be deemed to have been duly authorised to be paid and applied to meet the amount spent for defraying the charges in respect of the services specified in column 2 of the Schedule during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1953, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year; and

(b) that the sums deemed to have been authorised to be paid and applied from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Bharat under this notification shall be deemed to have been appropriated for the services and purposes expressed in the Schedule in relation to the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1953.

THE SCHEDULE

Srl. No. (1)	Services and purposes (2)	Heads of Accounts (3)	Excess (4)		
			Voted portion Rs. (4)	Charged portion Rs.	Total Rs.
1	Charges on account of Motor Vehicles Acts.	12—Charges on account of Motor Vehicles Acts.	1,114	..	1,114
2	Police	29—Police	1,15,029	..	1,15,029
3	Medical	38—Medical	2,75,068	..	2,75,068
4	Rural Development	40—A Rural Development	1,37,896	..	1,37,896
5	Stationery and Printing	56—Stationery & Printing;	1,12,654	..	1,12,654
6	Capital Outlay on Agricultural Schemes.	71—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Agricultural Improvement and Research.	3,39,900	..	3,39,900
7	Superannuation Allowances and Pensions	55—Superannuation Allowances and Pensions	..	100	100
TOTAL			9,81,661	100	9,81,761

[No. F. 21(32)-B/58]

S.O. 920.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (37 of 1956), the President hereby declares—

(a) that from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Bharat, the sums specified in column 4 of the Schedule annexed to this notification amounting in the aggregate to the sum of four lakhs, forty-five thousand, four hundred and forty-three rupees shall be deemed to have been duly authorised to be paid and applied to meet the amount spent for defraying the charges in respect of the services specified in column 2 of the Schedule during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1954, in excess of the amounts granted for those services and for that year; and

(b) that the sums deemed to have been authorised to be paid and applied from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Madhya Bharat under this notification shall be deemed to have been appropriated for the services and purposes expressed in the Schedule in relation to the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1954.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Services and purposes	Head of Accounts	Excess		
			Voted portion Rs.	Charged portion Rs.	Total Rs.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
1	Stamps	9—Stamps	31,566	..	31,566
2	Registration	11—Registration	2,333	..	2,333
3	Administration of Justice	27—Administration of Justice	94,562	6,576	1,01,138
4	Police	29—Police	1,04,335	..	1,04,335
5	Civil Works	50—Civil Works—Charge on Public Works Establishment	81,980	..	81,980
6	Superannuation Allowances and Pensions	55—Superannuation Allowances and Pensions	35,229	..	35,229
7	Construction of Irrigation, Navigation Embankment and Drainage Works	68—Construction of Irrigation, Navigation Embankment and Drainage Works	88,862	..	88,862
TOTAL			4,38,867	6,576	4,45,443

[No. F. 21(32)-B /56.]

S.O. 921.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (37 of 1956), the President hereby declares—

(a) that from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Bhopal, the sum specified in column 4 of the Schedule annexed to this notification amounting in the aggregate to the sum of twelve lakhs, sixty-five thousand, three hundred and eighty-three rupees shall be deemed to have been duly authorised to be paid and applied to meet the amount spent for defraying the charges in respect of the service specified in column 2 of the Schedule during the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1954, in excess of the amount granted for that service and for that year; and

(b) that the sum deemed to have been authorised to be paid and applied from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Bhopal under this notification shall be deemed to have been appropriated for the service and purpose expressed in the Schedule in relation to the financial year ended on the 31st day of March, 1954.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Services and purposes	Head of Accounts	Excess		
			Voted portion	Charged portion	Total
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
I	Civil Works	50—Civil Works	12,65,383	..	12,65,383

[No. F.21(32)-B/50.]

H. S. NEGI, Jr. Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

STAMPS

New Delhi, the 25th April 1959

S.O. 922.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 239 of the Constitution and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the late Finance Department (Central Revenues) No. 3, dated the 14th August, 1937, and in partial modification of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs No. SRO 2536, dated the 1st November, 1956, the President hereby delegates to each of the Chief Commissioners of the Union territories of Delhi, Manipur, Tripura and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, and to the Lieutenant Governor of the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh all functions of the Central Government under, or in relation to, clause (9) of section 2 and sections 33, 70, 74, 76-A and 78 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899).

[No. 10 F. No. 1/65/57-Stamps/Cus. VII.]

S.O. 923.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 258 of the Constitution, the President hereby rescinds the notification of the Government of India in the late Finance Department (Central Revenues) No. 8-Stamps dated the 13th November, 1937, and the notification of the Government of India in the late Finance Department (Central Revenues) No. 9-Stamps dated the 13th November, 1937.

[No. 11 F. No. 1/65/57-Stamps/Cus. VII.]

M. A. RANGASWAMY, Dy Secy.

CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 21st April 1959

S.O. 924.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922) and in partial modification of all previous notifications on the subject the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that with effect from 13th April 1959 (forenoon) Shri B. M. Mitra who has been appointed by the Central Government to be a Commissioner of Income-tax, shall perform all the functions of Commissioner of Income-tax in respect of such areas or of such persons or classes of persons or such incomes or classes of incomes or such cases or classes of cases as are comprised in the Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts in the State of Mysore.

Provided that he shall also perform his functions in respect of such persons or of such cases as have been or may be assigned by the Central Board of Revenue to any Income-tax Authority subordinate to him.

Provided further that he shall not perform his functions in respect of such persons or such cases as have been or may be assigned to any Income-tax authority outside his jurisdictional area.

While performing the said functions the said Shri Mitra shall be designated as the Commissioner of Income-tax, Mysore with headquarters at Bangalore.

Explanatory Note

NOTE.—The amendments have become necessary on account of the change in the incumbent of the Commissioners' post.

(This note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 43 (F. No. 55/27/59-IT).]

S.O. 925.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922), and in partial modification of all previous notifications on the subject, the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that with effect from 11th April 1959 (fore-noon), Shri P. Madhava Rau, who has been appointed by the Central Government to be a Commissioner of Income-tax, shall perform all the functions of Commissioner of Income-tax in respect of such areas or of such persons or classes of persons or such incomes or classes of incomes or such cases or classes of cases as are comprised in the Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts in the States of Delhi and Rajasthan.

Provided that he shall also perform his functions in respect of such persons or of such cases as have been or may be assigned by the Central Board of Revenue to any Income-tax Authority subordinate to him.

Provided further that he shall not perform his functions in respect of such persons or such cases as have been or may be assigned to any Income-tax Authority outside his jurisdictional area.

While performing the said functions the said Shri P. Madhava Rau shall be designated as the Commissioner of Income-tax, Delhi and Rajasthan with headquarters at New Delhi.

Explanatory Note

NOTE.—The amendments have become necessary due to the change in the incumbent of the Commissioner's post.

(The above note does not form a part of the amendments but is intended to be merely clarificatory.)

[No. 43 (F. No. 55/27/59-IT).]

S.O. 926.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922), and in partial modification of all previous notifications on the subject, the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that with effect from 8th April 1959 (afternoon), Shri R. N. Jain, a Commissioner of Income-tax, shall perform all the functions of Commissioner of Income-tax in respect of such areas or of such persons or classes of persons or such incomes or classes of incomes or such cases or classes of cases as are comprised in the Income-tax Circles, Wards or districts in the State of Bombay as specified below:—

1. Companies Circle I (All Sections).
2. Companies Circle II (All Sections).
3. Companies Circle III(6).
4. Companies Circle IV (All Sections).
5. Bombay Circle I (E.P.T.).
6. A-I Ward.
7. A-III Ward.
8. A-IV Ward.
9. A-V Ward.
10. Market Ward.
11. C-II Ward.
12. Salaries Branch I.
13. Salaries Branch II.
14. Bombay Refund Circle.
15. Non-residents Refund Circle.
16. Foreign Section.
17. Income-tax cum Estate Duty Circle.

18. Special Survey Circle I.
19. Special Survey Circle IV.
20. Special Investigation Branch.
21. Evacuees Circle I.

Provided that he shall also perform his functions in respect of such persons or of such cases as have been or may be assigned by the Central Board of Revenue to any Income-tax Authority subordinate to him.

Provided further that he shall not perform his functions in respect of such persons or such cases as have been or may be assigned to any Income-tax Authority outside his jurisdictional area.

While performing the said functions the said Shri Jain shall be designated as the Commissioner of Income-tax, Bombay City I with headquarters at Bombay.

Explanatory Note

NOTE.—The amendments have become necessary due to the change in the incumbent of the Commissioner's post.

(The above note does not form a part of the amendments but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 47(F. No. 55/27/59-IT).]

S.O. 927.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that for the existing Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts cited in its notification S.O. 2189 No. 92-Income-tax dated the 9th October, 1958 the following Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts shall be substituted, namely:—

1. All Income-tax Wards and Circles at Poona.
2. All Income-tax Wards in South Satara District.
3. All Income-tax Wards and Circles in Kolhapur District.
4. All Income-tax Wards in North Satara District.
5. All Income-tax Wards and Circles in Thana District.
6. All Income-tax Wards in Kolaba District.
7. Ratnagiri District.
8. All Income-tax Wards and Circles in Sholapur District.
9. All Income-tax Wards in Ahmednagar District.
10. All Income-tax Circles in Akola District.
11. Yeotmal District.
12. All Income-tax Wards in Amravati District.
13. All Income-tax Wards in Wardha for Wardha and Chanda Districts.
14. All Income-tax Wards in Aurangabad for Aurangabad and Bhir Districts.
15. Khamgaon for Buldhana District.
16. All Income-tax Wards in Nanded for Nanded and Parbhani Districts.
17. Latur for Osmanabad District.
18. Nasik Circle.
19. Jalgaon Circle.
20. Dhulla Circle.

This notification shall come into force from the 1st May, 1959.

No. 49 (F. No. 55/27/59-IT).]

New Delhi, the 27th April 1959

S.O. 928.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922), the Central Board of Revenue

hereby makes the following further amendments to its notification S.O. 660 No 35-Income-tax dated the 22nd April 1958, namely:—

In the Schedule annexed to the said notification under the sub-head "VII-(Central) Calcutta", for the existing entries in Columns 1 & 2 the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

Central Range I.

Central Circles I to XXIV.

This notification shall come into force from the 1st May, 1959.

Explanatory Note

NOTE:—The amendments have become necessary on account of the re-organisation of the Appellate Ranges in the Charge of the Commissioner of Income-tax, (Central) Calcutta.

(This note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 33(F.No.50/24/59-IT)]

S.O. 929.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922) the Central Board of Revenue hereby makes the following further amendments to its notification S.O. 660 No. 35-Income-tax, dated the 22nd April, 19458 namely :—

In the Schedule annexed to the said notification under the sub-head "XV-West Bengal" for all the existing entries in Col. 1 and 2 the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

"A" Range, Calcutta	1. Companies District I, Calcutta. 2. Companies District III(3), Calcutta.
"B" Range, Calcutta	1. Companies District II, Calcutta. 2. 24-Parganas. 3. District III (1), Calcutta.
"C" Range, Calcutta	1. Companies District III, Calcutta. 2. District II(1), Calcutta. 3. Foreign Section, Calcutta. 4. Cinema Circle, West Bengal. 5. Cinema Circle Calcutta.
"D" Range, Calcutta	1. Estate Duty cum Income-tax Circle, Calcutta. 2. Non-Companies (Income-tax cum Excess Profits Tax) District I, Calcutta. 3. Non-Companies (Income-tax cum Excess Profits Tax) District II, Calcutta. 4. Project Circle, West Bengal. 5. Hooghly. 6. Refund Circle. 7. Project Circle, Calcutta. 8. District IV(1), Calcutta.
"E" Range, Calcutta	1. District V, Calcutta. 2. District V(1), Calcutta. 3. District V(2), Calcutta. 4. District IV(3), Calcutta. 5. Companies District IV, Calcutta. 6. Special Survey Circle V, Calcutta. 7. Special Survey Circle X, Calcutta.
"F" Range, Calcutta	1. District I(1), Calcutta. 2. District III(2), Calcutta.
"G" Range, Calcutta	1. District I(2), Calcutta. 2. Special Survey Circle I, Calcutta. 3. Special Survey Circle III, Calcutta.
"H" Range, Calcutta	1. Special Circle I, Calcutta. 2. Cases which have been assigned and which will be assigned from time to time by the Board
"I" Range Calcutta	1. Special Circle II, Calcutta. 2. Cases which have been assigned and which will be assigned from time to time by the Board.

"J" Range, Calcutta	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. District IV(2), Calcutta. 2. District III-A, Calcutta. 3. District V-A, Calcutta. 4. Central Salaries Circle, Calcutta. 5. Railways and Miscellaneous Salaries Circle, Calcutta. 6. Special Survey Circle VI, Calcutta. 7. District VI, Calcutta.
"K" Range, Asansol	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bardwan-Birbhum 2. Midnapore. 3. Asansol. 4. Bankura-Purulia.
"L" Range, Jalpaiguri	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Jalpaiguri-Darjeeling. 2. Cooch-Behar. 3. West Dinajpur-Malda. 4. Siliguri. 5. Murshidabad-Nadia.
"M" Range, Calcutta	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Howrah. 2. Special Survey Circle IV, Calcutta. 3. Special Survey Circle VIII, Calcutta. 4. Special Survey Circle IX, Calcutta. 5. Special Survey Circle II, Calcutta. 6. Special Survey Circle XI, Calcutta.
"N" Range, Calcutta	Cases which will be assigned from time to time by the Board.
"O" Range, Calcutta	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. District II(2), Calcutta. 2. Special Survey Circle VII, Calcutta.

This notification shall take effect from the 1st May, 1959.

Explanatory Note

NOTE — The amendments have become necessary on account of the re-organisation of the Appellate ranges in the charge of the Commissioners of Income-tax West Bengal and Calcutta.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 34(F. No. 50/32/59-IT)]

S. O. 930.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922), the Central Board of Revenue hereby makes the following amendments in the Schedule appended to its notification S.O. 660 No. 35-Income-tax dated the 22nd April, 1958, namely :—

In the said Schedule under the Sub-head "VIII-Delhi & Rajasthan" for the existing entries in columns 1 and 2 the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

"A" Range New Delhi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Income-tax cum Wealth-tax, Circle I, New Delhi. 2. Companies Circles, New Delhi except Companies Circle II, New Delhi. 3. Central Circles I(I), V, VI & VII Delhi. 4. B-I, E-I(I), B-II, B-III Districts, New Delhi. 5. All Contractors Circle, New Delhi. 6. Ward No. VIII, Delhi. 7. Evacuee Circle, Delhi. 8. Salary Circle, Delhi. 9. All Private Salary Circles, New Delhi. 10. A-Ward, Bharatpur. 11. Alwar. 12. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Kotah. (In respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officer, Alwar). 13. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Ajmer. (In respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Officer, Alwar).
---------------------	---

"B" Range, New Delhi . . .	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Income-tax <i>cum</i> Wealth-tax Circle III, New Delhi; 2. Central Circle I, II and III, Delhi; 3. Special Circle, New Delhi; 4. Additional Special Circle II, New Delhi; 5. A-I, A-II, A-III, A-IV and A-IV(I) Districts, New Delhi; 6. C-I, C-I(I) and C-II Districts, New Delhi; 7. All Business Circles, New Delhi; 8. Central Circle II, New Delhi ; and 9. Ward No. VI, IX(I), IX(2), IX(3), IX(4) and IX(5), Delhi.
"C" Range, New Delhi . . .	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Income-tax <i>cum</i> Wealth-tax Circle IV, New Delhi; 2. Companies Circle II, New Delhi; 3. Central Circle IV, Delhi; 4. Estate Duty <i>cum</i> Income-tax Circle, New Delhi; 5. B-XIV, B-XV, B-XV(I) and B-XVI Districts, New Delhi; 6. Foreign Section, Delhi; 7. Survey Ward Nos. I & II, Delhi ; and 8. Ward Nos. I, I(I), I(2), II, III, IV & V, Delhi.
"D" Range, New Delhi . . .	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. B-IX, B-X, B-XI B-XI(I), B-XII, B-XIII, B-XIII(I), Districts, New Delhi. 2. Wards Nos. VII(I), VII(2), VII(3) and VII(4), Delhi.
"E" Range, New Delhi . . .	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Income-tax <i>cum</i> Wealth-tax Circle II, New Delhi. 2. B-IV and B-IV(I) Districts, New Delhi. 3. B-VIII Districts, New Delhi. 4. B-XVII, B-XVII(I), B-XVII(2), B-XVIII and B-XVIII(I) Districts, New Delhi.
Jaipur Range . . .	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All Income-tax Wards having headquarters at Jaipur. 2. Estate Duty <i>cum</i> Income-tax Circle, Jaipur. 3. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Kotah. (In respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Wards specified in entries 1 to 2) and 4. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Ajmer. (In respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Wards specified in entries 1 & 2). 5. B-Ward, Bharatpur. 6. Bharatpur. 7. B-V, B-V(I), B-VI, B-VI(I), B-VII, B-VII(I) Districts, New Delhi.
Jodhpur Range . . .	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All Income-tax Wards having headquarters at Jodhpur. 2. All Income-tax Wards having headquarters at Sriganganagar. 3. All Income-tax Wards having jurisdiction at Bikaner. 4. Beawar. 5. A-Ward and B-Ward, Ajmer. 6. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Kotah. (In respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Wards specified in entries 1 to 5). 7. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Ajmer. (excluding jurisdiction assigned to other Appellate Assistant Commissioners). 8. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Ajmer. (In respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Wards specified in entries 1 to 5).

- | | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Udaipur Range | 1. All Income-tax Wards having headquarters at Udaipur; |
| | 2. All Income-tax Wards having headquarters at Kotah; |
| | 3. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Kotah. (Excluding jurisdiction assigned to other Appellate Assistant Commissioners); and |
| | 4. Multipurpose Project Circle, Rajasthan, Ajmer. (In respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside in the jurisdiction of Income-tax Wards specified in entries 1 to 2). |

These amendments shall come into force from the 1st May, 1959.

Explanatory Note

NOTE :—These amendments have become necessary on account of the re-organisation of the Appellate Assistant Commissioners' Ranges in the Charge of the Commissioner of Income tax, Delhi and Rajasthan.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 52/(F. No 50/25/59-IT)]

B. V. MUNDKUR, Under Secy.

CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 2nd May 1959

S.O. 931.—In pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Land Customs Act, 1924 (19 of 1924), read with section 182 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (8 of 1878), and in supersession of its notification No. 4-Customs, dated the 19th January, 1952, the Central Board of Revenue prescribes and limits the powers of the Collectors of Land Customs and Land Customs Officers of the Collectorates of Central Excise, Baroda, Bombay, Delhi, Madras, Patna, Shillong and Allahabad and the Collectorate of Land Customs, Calcutta within their respective jurisdiction as shown below:—

The Collectors of Land Customs, Baroda, Bombay, Delhi, Madras, Patna, Shillong, Allahabad and Calcutta, and all the Deputy Collectors, Assistant Collectors and Superintendents of Central Excise of the Collectorates of Baroda, Bombay, Delhi, Madras, Patna, Shillong, Allahabad and Calcutta, shall exercise the powers indicated in clause (a) of section 182 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

[No. 89.]

M. C. DAS, Secy.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS AND CENTRAL EXCISE, PONDICHERRY.

PUBLIC NOTICE

CENTRAL EXCISE

Pondicherry, the 26th March 1959

SUBJECT:—Central Excise—Vegetable non-essential oils—Small scale manufacturers—Simplified procedure—In respect of.

S.O. 932.—It is notified for the information of the trade concerned that a simplified form of Central Excise procedure, instead of the standard procedure, is available for small manufacturers of vegetable non-essential oils whose equipment does not exceed one expeller or two rotaries or two kolhus or any other equipment operated by power, the annual production capacity of which is less than 75 tons. Such manufacturers will be allowed to operate under the simplified procedure on application to the Collector of Customs and Central Excise, Pondicherry, during the period April 1959 to June 1959.

They are required to maintain only a simple form of register in lieu of R.G. 1 and submit a simple monthly return as prescribed below:—

Form of Register

1. Date.
2. Opening balance.
3. Total production during the day.
4. Total issues during the day.
5. Closing balance.

Form of Return

1. Opening balance.
2. Total production during the month.
3. Total issues during the month.
4. Closing balance.

The manufacturer should open a simple form of Account Current with this Department as detailed hereunder. A sum covering ordinarily the duty on a fortnight's expected clearances from his factory should be deposited initially under ordinary Treasury chalan (filled in quadruplicate). One copy of the Treasury chalan will be returned to the manufacturer after credit. He should continue thereafter to deposit weekly a sum equivalent to the duty on a week's expected clearances at the beginning of the every week, ensuring a balance to be available in the Account Current to meet his requirements of clearances of oil. The manufacturer will be permitted to make clearances to the extent funds are available in his Account Current to cover the duty on the oil so cleared under gate passes signed by him. Serially numbered Gate passes to be used will be supplied to the manufacturer through the Central Excise Officer in whose jurisdiction the factory is situated.

All such clearances should be entered on the reverse of the copy of the Treasury chalan kept by the manufacturer and balance struck every day. This account will be subject to a weekly check by the Central Excise Officer concerned.

The Central Excise Officer at the time of his visit to the factory will prepare a consolidated Post—A.R. 1 for all the clearances made by the manufacturer under gate passes. The original copy of the post A.R. 1 document indicating the Treasury chalan number, etc., under which duty was paid and the serial numbers of the gate passes under which the consignments of oil were cleared will be handed over to the manufacturer for his record.

[No. 2/59.]

A. J. B. LOBO, Collector.

THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE

CENTRAL EXCISE

Madras, the 6th April 1959

S.O. 933.—In pursuance of Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules 1944, I empower all Assistant Collectors of Central Excise, to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the powers of a "Collector" conferred by Rule 12A. of the Central Excise Rules 1944 for grant of rebate in so far as such exports relate to ports other than the major ports.

[No. C. IV/16/207/58-C.E.(Pol).]

Madras, the 21st April 1959

S.O. 934.—In pursuance of Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I empower all Superintendents of Central Excise to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the powers of a "Collector" conferred by Rule 98(0) of the Central Excise Rules, 1944.

[No. C. IV/16/207/58.C.E.(Pol)]

D. R. KOHLI, Collector.

OFFICE OF THE ASSTT. COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE AND LAND
CUSTOMS, GOA FRONTIER DIVISION, BELGAUM.

NOTICES

Belgaum, the 15th April 1959

S.O. 935.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were

1. Goa bechnus in 132 Lbs. four gunny bags.	seized by the Sub-Inspector, CID Border Control, Belgaum at a place known as Bailur on 4th January, 1959, were imported by land from Goa (Portuguese possessions in India) in contravention of Section 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, and the Government of India Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import
2. Press buttons in 311 Grs. one gunny bag. & 9 Dzs.	Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955, issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming the goods as hereby called upon to show cause to the Asstt. Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs, Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum, why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and the five gunny bags under Section 168 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878, and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(1)(c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10-34/59.]

S.O. 936.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were

	Mds. Srs.	seized by the Inspector Central Excise Chorla at a place known as Usachi Kol in the vicinity of the Indo-Goa border on 13th July 1958, were imported by Land from Goa (Portuguese possessions in India) in contravention of Section 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 and the Government of India Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955, issued under
1. 8 bags of berelnuts, 3	20	Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (in respect of item 1, 2, 3 noted in the margin).
2. Canst ntiro Portuguese brandy full size 39 bottles.		
3. Viceroy Portuguese brandy, 8	"	
4. Axe 1	"	
5. Phal 1	"	

Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (in respect of item 1, 2, 3 noted in the margin).

2. Now therefore, any person claiming the goods as hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs, Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(1) (c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10-33/59.]

S.O. 937.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were

	B.Mds Srs.	seized by the Inspector, Central Excise Bhedshi in the jungle near Virdi in the vicinity of the Indo-Goa border on 1st January 1959, were imported by Land from Goa (Portuguese possession in India) in contravention of Section 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 and the Government of India Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955 issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.
1. Betelnuts, 8	- 30	
2. Cloves, 1	- 00	

1924 and the Government of India Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955 issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(i)(c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10-78/59.]

Belgaum, the 16th April 1959

S.O. 938.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were seized by the Inspector of Customs and Central Excise Kumta at a place known as Terc Bagin Fery (Kumta) on 26th May 1958, were imported by Sea from Goa (Portuguese Possessions in India) in contravention of the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955 issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

(i) Goa variety beel-nuts,	Chali	Mds.	Srs.
		7	00

2. Now therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs, Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10(46)/59.]

S.O. 939.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were seized by the Jamadar Ch. No. 31 Banda beat in the vicinity of the Indo-Goa border on 5th January 1959, were imported by Land from Goa (Portuguese Possessions in India) in contravention of Section 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 and the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955 issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

(i) Six gunny bags containing betelnuts	Mds.	Srs.
	4	17

2. Now therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise, and Land Customs, Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and the six gunny bags under Section 168 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(i)(c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10-50/59.]

Belgaum, the 18th April 1959

S.O. 940.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were seized
 (1) Goa white bechnuts Mds. Srs. by the Jamadar Central Excise Sanikatta with the
 in eigh gunny bags 4 15 help of S. R. P. at a place known as Sakharam
 (2) Constantino brandy Varandha in the jurisdiction of Ch. No. 75 in the
 bottles 6 bottles vicinity of the Indo-Goa border were imported
 by Land from Goa (Portuguese Possessions in India) in contravention of Section
 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 and the Government of India, Ministry of
 Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December,
 1955 issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and
 further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act,
 1878.

2. Now therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and the gunny bags, under Section 168 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(1) (c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b) 10-402/58.]

Belgaum, the 20th April 1959

S.O. 941.—Whereas it appears that the goods as shown in the list which were seized by the Police H. C. B. No. 640, Banda at Insoll Village in the vicinity of the Indo-Goa border on 24th December, 1957, about to be exported by Land to Goa (Portuguese Possessions in India) in contravention of Section 5 (1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, and Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industries Export Control Order No. 1/54, dated 10th May, 1954 issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

2. Now therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and the 2 green steel trunks and an old hand bag (containers) under Section 168 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(1) (c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

List of Goods

- (1) One green trunk without lock.
- (2) 297 Clay burners of patromax of Rani Co.
- (3) 36 Spectacle lances made in Japan.
- (4) 360 Clay burners of patromax of Rani Co.
- (5) Five tin boxes of patromax mantels with every day Mark 60 mantels.
- (6) 24 spectacle cases with red colour.
- (7) 12 spectacle cases with red and black.
- (8) 36 spectacle cases with brown.
- (9) 36 spectacle cases with white.
- (10) 288 stove cups.
- (11) 288 stove cups.

- (12) Patromax pins 40 packets each of one gross of pins.
- (13) 6 slove plates of liberty brand.
- (14) 144 clay burners.
- (15) white clay burners 72 of patromax.
- (16) 60 plastic spectacle cases.
- (17) 36 leather spectacle cases.
- (18) Patromax washers 3300.
- (19) Green old trunk one.
- (20) panchas four.
- (21) one red saree and one khan piece.
- (22) spectacle frames 24.

[No. VIII (b)10-338/58.]

S.O. 942.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were

(1) Stars Portuguese 26 Btls.	seized by the S.R.P. at a place known as Demla in the vicinity of the Indo-Goa Border on 24th December, 1958 were imported by Land from Goa (Portuguese possessions in India) in contravention of Section 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 and the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955, issued under Section 5 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947, and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.
Brandy.	
(2) White Horse. 28 "	
Whisky.	
(3) Jhony Walker. 3 "	
(4) White Goa betelnuts. 16 Mds. 24 Srs.	

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise, and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(i)(c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10-52/59.]

S.O. 943.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were

	Mds.	Sr.	seized by the Sub-Inspector Central Excise, Chlkhal at a place known as Sakharam Varandha in the vicinity of the Indo-Goa Border on 24th December, 1958 were imported by Land from Goa (Portuguese possession in India) in contravention of Section 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 and the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industries Depart Control Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955 issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.
(1) White hard Goa betelnuts.	3	- 30	

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs, Goa

Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(1) (c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10-23/59.]

S.O. 944.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were seized by the Sub-Inspector, Kumta (Port) in S.T. Bus running from Honawar to First on 19th January 1959, were imported by sea from Goa (Portuguese possessions in India) in contravention of the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Order No. 17/55, dated 7th December 1955, issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (in respect of item one noted in the margin.)

Press studs made in Germany 554	479 Grs. & 30 Loose.
Old cloth.	..
One iron trunk	..
One naya p isa.	..

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Asstt. Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above-mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and the article at S. No. 2, 3, 4, under Section 168 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878, and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(i)(c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above-mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VII(b)10-192/58.]

Belgaum, the 21st April 1959

S.O. 945.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were (1) Mechanical lighters patent Bora No. 901 made in Austria, 661 seized by the Inspector Central Excise Chorla at a place known as Lakamshet wada in the vicinity of the Indo-Goa border on 27th October, 1958 were imported by land from Goa (Portuguese possessions in India) in contravention of Section 5(1) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, and the Government of India Ministry of Finance Dept. (C.F.) Notification No. 17/Cus. dated 7th March, 1936 as amended by Ministry of Finance R. D. Notification No. 19/Cus. dated 22nd January, 1952, issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1872.

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division Belgaum why the above mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 7(1) (c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette—to goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)10-474/58.]

Belgaum, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 946.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were seized by the Sub-

	Qty.	
1. Double Khujas with cane cover containing Goa liquor.	35	Inspector, Tarr at a place known as Kirbal at the mouth of Agnashini creek on 11-2-58 were imported by sea from Goa (Portuguese possessions in India in contravention of the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industries Import Control Order No. 17/55 dated 7-12-55, issued under Section 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947 and further deemed to have been issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (in respect of items 7, 8, 10, 11 & 12 noted in the margin).
2. Double Khujas Do.	25	
3. Empty double khujas	2	
4. Single khujas with cane cover containing Goa liquor	5	
5. Single khuja. Do.	4	
6. Single khuja containing water smelling like liquor.	1	
7. Cooper Beer wine bottles of which one is sealed while others not.	5	
8. Wine old brandy bottles	5	
9. Seasers orange Green	3	
10. Coconut oil bottles (Small)	5	
11. Dry betelnuts (in) gunny bag	2 1/2 Srs.	Government of India, Ministry of Finance, (C.R.) Notification No. 2 Camp Cas, dttd 26-1-46 issued under Section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (in respect of item, Nos. 1 to 6 noted in the margin.)
12. Betelnuts with husk	1	
13. Kamblis	5	
14. Fishing nets (old)	2	
15. Earthen pot with cooked rice	1	
16. One bale natural fish	1	
17. Toney with equipments, (5 oars, 1 sail, 1 mast, 1 sail-yard, 1 rudder).	1	

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Asstt. Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Section 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924, read with Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878, and the articles at S. Nos. 9, 13 to 17 under Section 168 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Section 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such a owner fails to turn up to claim the above mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b) 10-193/59.]

S.O. 947.—Whereas it appears that the marginally noted goods which were seized by Sub-Inspector Chendia, at a place known as Alligadda creek in the vicinity of the Indo Goa Sea border

- (1) Malis tea with trade mark Manjoral 50 tins of 16 lbs. each, tea of B.B.T.C.L. in 12 gunny bags.
- (2) Toney No. KWR 5814 with full equipments.
- (3) Empty Khujas 7 Double.
3. Single.
- (4) Umbrella One (old)
- (5) Copper tin One. (tiffin).
- (6) Lemon fruits, 1000 in one gunny bag.
- (7) One old torn shirt with dhobi mark NUH.

on 27-8-58 were about to be exported by sea to Goa (Portuguese possession in India) in contravention of the Govt. of India Ministry of Commerce & Industry Export Control Order No. 1/54, dt. 10-5-1954/Export Control Order No. 1/58 dt. 1-5-1958 issued under Sec. 3 of the Imports and Exports Control Act, 1947, and further deemed to have been issued under Sec. 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (in respect of item Nos. 1, 5 & 6 noted in the margin).

2. Now, therefore, any person claiming, the goods is hereby called upon to show cause to the Assistant Collector of Central Excise and Land Customs Goa Frontier Division, Belgaum why the above mentioned goods should not be confiscated under Sec. 5(3) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Sec. 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and the articles at S. Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 7 noted in the margin under Sec. 168 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 and why a penalty should not be imposed on him under Sec. 7(1)(c) of the Land Customs Act, 1924 read with Sec. 167(8) of the Sea Customs Act, 1878.

3. If such an owner fails to turn up to claim the above mentioned unclaimed goods or to show cause against the action proposed to be taken within 30 days from the publication of this notice in the Government of India Gazette, the goods in question will be treated as unclaimed and the case will be decided accordingly.

[No. VIII(b)-10-367/58.]

E. R. SRIKANTIA,

Assistant Collector.

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, ALLAHABAD

CENTRAL EXCISE

Allahabad, the 21st April 1959

S.O. 948.—In exercise of the power conferred on me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules 1944, I hereby empower the Superintendents of Central Excise, the power conferred upon me under the provisions of Rule 96(0) of Central Excise Rules, 1944, within their respective jurisdictions.

[No. 4 of 1959.]

S. C. MATHUR, Collector.

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, DELHI

CENTRAL EXCISE

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1959

S.O. 949.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower the officers of Central Excise Collectorate, Delhi, specified in column 1 of the subjoined table to exercise within their jurisdiction the power of Collector under the Rule shown in column 2:—

TABLE

Rank of Officer	Central Excise Rules	Limitations, if any
Superintendents	96 'O'	

[No. C.VI(Y)6/3/59/18753.]

B. D. DESHMUKH, Collector.

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, BARODA

CENTRAL EXCISE—MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS

Baroda, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 950.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I authorise the Superintendents of Central Excise of this Collectorate to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the powers of the Collector under Rule 96(O) of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, as inserted by the Government of India Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue's) Notification No. 45/59, dated the 21st April, 1959.

[No. 3/59.]

R. PRASAD, Collector.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

COFFEE CONTROL

New Delhi, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 951.—In supersession of this Ministry's notification No. S.O. 562, dated the 10th March, 1959, it is hereby notified that Shri K. Srinivasan, Chairman, Coffee Board, is granted refused leave for 39 days with effect from the 31st March, 1959.

Shri G. S. Srinivasan, I.A.S., Chief Coffee Marketing Officer, Coffee Board, Bangalore, shall attend to the duties of the Chairman in addition to his duties as Chief Coffee Marketing Officer, until further orders.

[No. 9(49)Plant(B)/58.]

A. J. KIDWAI, Dy. Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 24th April 1959

S.O. 952.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of 1955), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Cotton Textiles (Production by Handlooms) Control Order, 1956, namely:—

For clause 6 of the said order, the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

- "6. *Renewal of certificate.*—(1) Every registration certificate granted or renewed under this Order on or before the 31st March, 1960 shall be valid up to the said date and shall, thereafter, be renewable for one term at a time.
- (2) Every registration certificate and every renewal thereof granted after the 31st March, 1960 shall be valid for a term if granted at the commencement of any term, but if granted during the currency of any term, shall be valid only for the remainder of the term.
- (3) An application for renewal of a registration certificate shall be made in Form C and, unless sufficient reasons are shown to the satisfaction of the registering authority, it shall be made within a period of thirty days before the date of expiry of the registration certificate."

Explanation.—In this clause, "term" shall mean a period of three years, the first term commencing on the 1st April, 1960.

[No. 4(62)Tex.(C)/58.]

M. S. SADASIVAN, Under Secy.

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 24th April 1959

S.O. 953 IDRA/6/10.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 4 and 5 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Alkalis and allied industries in place of the members whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise:—

Sl. No.	Name of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/Member
1	Shri C. R. Rao, General Manager, Tata Chemicals Ltd., Bombay House, Bruce Street, Fort, <i>Bombay-1.</i>	"Owners of Industrial undertakings"	Chairman
2	Shri Prem Chand Jain, Dhrangadhra Chemical Works Ltd., (Tinnevely Caustic Soda Division), Sahapuram, Arumuganeri P.O., <i>Tinnevely Distt.</i>	"Do."	Member
3	Shri R. V. Ramani, Director, Managing Agents, The Travancore-Cochin Chemicals Ltd., <i>Udyog Mandal P. O.</i>	"Do."	Member

Sl. No.	Name of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/Member
4	Mr. S. Gothberg, Executive Director, The Western Indian Match Co. Ltd., Indian Mercantile Chambers, Nicol Road, Ballard Estate, P. O. Box 254, <i>Bombay</i> .	"Owner of Industrial undertakings"	Member
5	Shri Charat Ram, The Delhi Cloth & General Mills Co. Ltd., Bara Hindu Rao, Post Box No. 1039, <i>Delhi</i> .	"Do"	Member
6	Shri S. Ramaswamy, General Superintendent, M/s. Mettur Chemical & Industrial Corporation Ltd., <i>Mettur Dam R. S. (S. India)</i> .	"Technical knowledge"	Member
7	Dr. H. E. Eduljee, M/s. National Peroxide Ltd., (Chemical Works), Sewree Cross Road, Wadala, <i>Bombay-31</i> .	"Do."	Member
8	Shri Sukh Chain Lal Jain, Sadu Chemicals (Prop. New Central Jute Mills Co. Ltd.), <i>P. O. Sahupuri—Varanasi</i> .	"Do."	Member
9	Shri S. N. Bhandari, Orient Paper Mills Ltd., 8, India Exchange Place, <i>Calcutta-1</i> .	"Consumers"	Member
10	Dr. J. S. Badami, The Swastik Oil Mills Ltd., Post Box 362, <i>Bombay-1</i> .	"Do."	Member
11	Shri S. A. Kher, Indu Dye Works Bungalow, Cadell Road, Dadar, <i>Bombay-28</i> .	"Do."	Member
12	Shri H. C. Varshnei, Seraikella Glass Works (P) Ltd., P. O. Kandra, S. E. Rly., <i>Distt. Singhbhum</i> .	"Do."	Member
13	Dr. M. D. Parakh, The National Rayon Corporation Ltd., Ewart House, Bruce Street, Fort, <i>Bombay-1</i> .	"Do."	Member
14	Shri M. Gopala Rao, Deputy Technical Director, National Productivity Council Golf Links, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Technical knowledge"	Member
15	Shri P. K. Seshan, Deputy Development Officer (Alkalies) Development Wing, Udyog Bhavan, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Do"	Member

[No. 4(5)IA(II)(G)/59.]

New Delhi, the 25th April 1959

S. O. 954 IDRA/6/3.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 4 and 5 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled industry engaged in the manufacture and production of Non-ferrous metals including alloys (and semi-manufacture thereof) in place of the members whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise:—

Sl. No.	Name of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/Member
1	Dr. D. P. Anita, National Carbon Co. (India) Ltd., Ilaco House, 1 & 3 Brabourne Road, P. O. Box 2170, <i>Calcutta-1</i> .	"Technical knowledge"	Chairman
2	Shri K. K. Bhasin, Manager, The Aluminium Corporation of India, Ltd., 7, Council House Street, <i>Calcutta</i> .	"Owners"	Member
3	Shri P. A. Jasdhanwalla, Manager, The Indian Standard Metal Co. Ltd., I.S.M. Estate, Chinchpokli Cross Lane, <i>Bombay-27</i> .	"Do."	Member
4	Shri G. D. Binani, 38, Strand Road, <i>Calcutta-1</i> .	"Do."	Member
5	Shri A. C. Dutta, The Metal Corporation of India Ltd., 135, Canning Street, 3rd Floor, <i>Calcutta-1</i> .	"Do."	Member
6	Shri Bhawanidas Binani, Managing Director, Reshtriya Metal Industries Ltd., 28-30, Anantwadi, <i>Bombay-2</i> .	"Do"	Member
7	Mr. W. J. Woodhouse, C/o The Fyre Smelting Private Ltd., Tandem Works, Post Box 10602, <i>Calcutta-23</i> .	"Do."	Member

S. No.	Name of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/ Member
8	Mr. H. V. Echols, Indian Aluminium Co., Ltd., 31, Chowringhee Road, <i>Calcutta-16</i> .	"Owner"	Member
9	Shri P. R. Kamani, Managing Director, Kamani Metals & Alloys Ltd., Kamani Chambers, Nicol Road, Ballard Estate, <i>Bombay</i> .	"Do."	Member
10	Shri Ramanlal Parikh, C/o Star Metal Refinery Private Ltd., 361, Dr. Dadabhoy Naoroji Road, 4th Floor, Fort, <i>Bombay</i> .	"Do."	Member
11	Mr. T. L. Holdsworth, Venesta Limited, 4, Mangoe Lane, Post Box No. 177, <i>Calcutta</i> .	"Do."	Member
12	Shri T. S. Sitapati, M/s. National Insulated Cable Co. of India Private Ltd., Stephen House, 4, Dalhousie Square East, <i>Calcutta-1</i> .	"Consumers"	Member
13	Dr. D. Swarup, Principal, College of Mining & Metallurgy, Banaras Hindu University, <i>Banaras</i> , (India).	"Technical knowledge"	Member
14	Dr. S. L. Kashikar, Member, INTUC Working Committee, Ganeshpeth, <i>Nagpur</i> .	"Labour"	Member
15	Shri Sidheshwar Choudhry, General Secretary, Golmuri Inplate Workers' Union, P. O. <i>Golmuri</i> , (Tatanagar).	"Do."	Member
16	Mr. B. J. Wadley M/s. Indian Cable Co. Ltd., 9, Hare Street, <i>Calcutta-1</i> .	"Consumers"	Member
17	Shri V. G. G. Nayar, M/s. Aluminium Industries Ltd., <i>Kundara</i> .	"Do."	Member
18	Shri N. N. Kashyap, I.C.S., Joint Secretary, Department of Mines & Fuel, Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Technical knowledge"	Member
19	Shri H. M. Chatterjee, Joint Director, Railway Stores (Development), Railway Board, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Consumers"	Member
20	Shri C. M. Patel Superintendent, Ordnance Factory, <i>Ambernath</i> .	"Do."	Member
21	Shri Dulcep Singh Deputy Technical Director, National Productivity Council, Golf Links, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Technical knowledge"	Member
22	Dr. P. Dayal, Development Officer (Metals), Development Wing, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Do."	Member

[No. 4(73)IA(II)(G)/58.]

New Delhi, the 27th April 1959

S.O.955/IDRA/6/8.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, (65 of 1951) read with rules 4 and 5 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture and production of Acids and Fertilizers in place of the members whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise:—

Sl. No.	Name of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/ Member
1	Dr. M.D. Parekh, General Manager (Technical), The National Rayon Corporation Ltd., Ewart House, Bruce Street, Fort, <i>Bombay-1</i> .	"Technical knowledge"	Chairman

Sl. No.	Name and address of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/ Member
2	Shri V.N. Kasturirangan, General Superintendent, The Fertilisers and Chemicals, Travancore Ltd., Udyogamandal P.O., <i>Alwaye.</i>	"Owners of industrial undertakings"	Member
3	Shri R.B. Shah M/s. Star Chemicals, 21, Noble Chambers, Parsee Bazar Street, <i>Bombay-1.</i>	"Do."	Member
4	Shri M.L. Seth, The D.C.M. Chemical Works, Najafgarh Road, <i>Delhi.</i>	"Do."	Member
5	Shri D.R. Mararji, The Dharamsi Morarji Chemical Co. Ltd., 317-21, Prospect Chambers, Dr. Dadabhai Naorji Road, Fort, <i>Bombay.</i>	"Do."	Member
6	Dr. K.L. Ramaswamy, Sindry Fertilizers and Chemicals Private, Limited, Sindri P.O., <i>Bihar.</i>	"Do."	Member
7	Dr. L.A. Bhatt, Optimum Corporation, 18, Hamam Street, <i>Bombay-1.</i>	"Technical knowledge"	Member
8	Shri S.M. Machiraju Assistant Professor of Inorganic Chemical Technology, Dr. Annie Basant Road, Worli, <i>Bombay-18.</i>	"Do."	Member
9	Dr. A.N. Ghosh, Joint Director, Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9, Mathura Road, <i>New Delhi-1.</i>	"Do."	Member
10	Shri Shiv Chandika, 14K Road, Jamshedpur, <i>Singhbhun (Bihar).</i>	"Persons employed Member in industrial undertakings"	
11	Shri C.R. Ranganathan, Executive Director, The Fertiliser Association of India; 85, Sunder Nagar, <i>New Delhi.</i>	"Consumers"	Member
12	Mr. R.N. Warrior, Deputy Technical Director, National Productivity Council, Golf Links, <i>New Delhi.</i>	"Technical knowledge"	Member
13	Shri V. Rama Iyer, Deputy Development Officer, Development Wing, <i>New Delhi.</i>	"Do."	Member

[No. 4(3)IA(II)(G)/59.]

S.O. 956 /IDRA/6/9.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 4 and 5 of the Development Councils) Procedural Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints the following

persons to be members of the Development Council engaged in the manufacture and production of Internal Combustion Engines and Power Driven Pumps and Air Compressors and Blowers in place of members whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise :—

Sl. No.	Name and address of Member	Interest represented	Chairman Member
1	Shri S. Anantaramakrishnan, Amalgamations Private Ltd., 202, Mount Road, Madras-2.	"owners"	Chairman
2	Shri M. M. Doshi, General Manager, Cooper Engineering Ltd., Satara Road (Southern Railway), Bombay State.	"owners"	Member
3	Mr. J. H. Langdon, Ruston & Hornsby (India) Private Ltd., 1, Forbes Street, (Post Box No. 91), Bombay-1.	"owners"	Member
4	Shri Nanu B. Amin, Director, Jyoti Limited, Baroda-3.	"owners"	Member
5	Shri K. S. Ganesh, Planning Engineer, Simpson & Co., Ltd., Madras-2.	"owners"	Member
6	Mr. L. Lang, Technical Director, Motor Industries Co., Ltd., Post Box No. 93, Bangalore-1.	"owners"	Member
7	Shri Bhagwat P. Mittal, K-40, Connaught Circus, New Delhi-1.	"owners"	Member
8	Shri P. N. Menon, Director, Best & Company Private Ltd., 13/15, North Beach Road, Post Box No. 63, Madras-1.	"owners"	Member
9	Maj. Genl. H. M. Mohite, Kirkoskar Pneumatic Co., Ltd., Hadapsar Industrial Estate, Poona-1.	"owners"	Member
10	Shri J. C. Kapur, Director, Air Conditioning Corporation (Private) Ltd., E-2, Gillanier House, 8, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	"owners"	Member
11	Shri G. R. Damodaran, "Anbaragam", Kamaraja Nalar Road, Red Fields, Coimbatore-1.	"technical knowledge"	Member
12	Shri M. R. K. Rao, Professor and Head of the Department, Department of Internal Combustion Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-3.	"technical knowledge"	Member
13	Dr. Lal C. Verma, Indian Standards Institution, Marak Bhavan, 9, Mathura Road, New Delhi-1.	"technical knowledge"	Member
14	Shri B. B. Ghosh, 47, Southern Avenue, Calcutta.	"technical knowledge"	Member

Sr. No.	Name and address of Member]	Interest represented	Chairman/Member
15	Mr. Michael John, Tata Workers' Union, 17 K Road, Jamshedpur.	"persons employed in the Scheduled industries"	Member
16	Shri Duleep Singh, Deputy Technical Director, National Productivity Council, Golf Links, New Delhi.	"technical knowledge"	Member
17	Shri N. T. Gopala Iengar, Deputy Development Officer, Development Wing, New Delhi.	"technical knowledge"	Member

[No. 4(4) IA (II) (G)/59.]

New Delhi, the 28th April 1959

S.O. 957/IDRA/6/5—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 4 and 5 of the Development Council (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture and production of electric motors and of machinery and equipment for the generation, transmission and distribution of electric energy (excluding house service meters and panel instruments) in place of the members whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise :—

S. No.	Name and address of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/Member
1.	Shri K. C. Bakhle, Tata Hydro-Electric Agencies Private Ltd., Bombay House, Fort, <i>Bombay-1.</i>	"consumers"	Chairman
2.	Shri Ravi, L. Kirtloskar, Kirloskar Electric Company Ltd., Post Box No. 1017 <i>Bangalore-3</i>	"owners of industrial undertakings"	Member
3.	Shri T. S. Sitapati, The National Insulated Cable Co. of India Ltd., Stephen House, 4, Dalhousie Square East, <i>Calcutta-1</i>	"Do"	Member
4.	Mr. J. Nicholson, Associated Electrical Industries (India) Private Ltd., Crown House, 6, Mission Row, Post Box 271, <i>Calcutta-1.</i>	"Do"	Member
5.	Shri D. D. Desai, 20, Graham Road, Ballard Estate, <i>Bombay.</i>	"Do"	Member
6.	Shri P. Kumar, Managing Director, National Electrical Industries Ltd., Industrial Estate, Lalbaug, <i>Bombay-12.</i>	"Do"	Member
7.	Shri P. R. Deshpande, Crompton Parkinson (Works) Private Ltd., Haines Road, Worli, <i>Bombay-18.</i>	"Do"	Member
8.	Shri K. C. Maitra, C/o Sankey Electrical Stampings Private Ltd., P.O. Box No. 121-A, <i>Bombay.</i>	"Do"	Member
9.	Shri Nanu B. Amin, Director, Jyoti Limited <i>Baroda-3.</i>	"Do"	Member
10.	Shri V. G. G. Nayar, General Manager, The Aluminium Industries Ltd., <i>Kundara, (South India).</i>	"Do"	Member
11.	Mr. B. J. Woodley, The Indian Cable Company Ltd., 9 Hare Street, P.O. Box 514, <i>Calcutta-1</i>	"Do"	Member

S. No.	Name and address of Member	Interest represented	Chairman/Member
12.	Shri S. Sarangapani Heavy Electricals (Private) Ltd., Govindpura, Post Box No. 46, <i>Bhopal</i> .	"technical knowledge"	Member
13.	Shri N. N. Iengar, D-14, National High School Road, Visveswarapuram, <i>Bangalore-4</i> .	"Do"	Member
14.	Shri S. A. Gadkary, Gadkary Sadan, 95/48, Chanakyapuri, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Do"	Member
15.	Shri Y. S. Venkateswaran, Assistant Director, Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9, Mathura Road <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Do"	Member
16.	Shri M. Hayath, Chairman, Central Water & Power Commission, Bikaner House, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"consumers"	Member
17.	Professor K. B. Menon, Head of the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, <i>Kharagpur</i> .	"Do"	Member
18.	Shri S. T. Thadani, Deputy Director General (S), Directorate General of Supplies & Disposals, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Do"	Member
19.	Shri L. N. Mathur, Director, Electrical Engineering, Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Do"	Member
20.	Shri Duleep Singh, Deputy Technical Director, National Productivity Council, Golf Links, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"technical knowledge"	Member
21.	Shri K. N. Ramaswami, Deputy Development Officer, Development Wing, <i>New Delhi</i> .	"Do"	Member

[No. 4(74) IA (II) (G)/58]

K. C. MADAPPA, Dy. Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 27th April 1959

S.O. 958/IDRA/6/13.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri V. V. Ranade, Ranade Cottage, Vile Parle, Bombay, as a member of the Development Council established by the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry S.R.O. 205, dated the 4th March, 1958, for the Scheduled Industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Soaps, Paints and Plastics and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said order, namely:—

In paragraph I of the said Order, under the category of members "being persons who, in the opinion of the Central Government, are capable of representing the interests of persons employed in industrial undertakings in the said Scheduled Industries" for entry No. 17 relating to Dr. (Mrs.) Maitreyee Bose, the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"17. Shri V. V. Ranade, Ranade Cottage, Vile Parle, Bombay."

[No. 5(32)IA(II)(G)/52.]

A. K. CHAKRAVARTI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF STEEL, MINES & FUEL

(Department of Mines & Fuel)

New Delhi, the 20th April 1959

S.O. 959.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal herein.

SCHEDULE

*Korea Block-II**Plan No. Rev/7/59*

Sl. No.	Name of village	Tahsil	Tahsil No.	District	Area	Remarks
1.	Bhukbhuki and Forest	Manendragarh	156	Surguja	88. 10 Acres (Approximately)	Part
				Total	88. 10 Acres (Approximately)	

Boundary description

- AB—line passes along South Eastern boundary of Kurasia Colliery.
 BC—line passes through Government forest.
 CD—line passes through village Bhukbhuki.
 DA—line passes through Government Forest.

The map of this area can be inspected at the office of the National Coal Development Corporation (P) Ltd. (Revenue Section), Darbhanga House, Ranchi, or at the office of the Collector, Surguja (MP).

[No. C2-6(15)/57.]

A. S. GREWAL, Under Secy.

(Department of Iron and Steel)

New Delhi, the 21st April 1959

S.O. 960 ESS, COMM/IRON AND STEEL-2(c)/AM(41).—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (c) of clause 2 of the Iron and Steel (Control) Order, 1956, the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made to the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Steel, Mines and fuel, No. S.R.O. 2041/Ess. Comm/Iron and Steel-2(c), dated the 11th June, 1957 as amended from time to time, namely:—

In the Schedule annexed to the said notification, in columns 2 and 3 thereof, against 'MANIPUR', the following entry shall be added, namely:—

2	3
"3. Director of Agriculture, Manipur.	4, 5, 18 and 20

[No. SC(A)-1(16)/59.]

J. S. BAIJAL, Under Secy.

(Department of Mines & Fuel)

New Delhi, the 21st April 1959

S.O. 961.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 4 of the Coal Mines (Conservation and Safety) Act, 1952 (12 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri B. K. Ghosh, Deputy Coal Controller (Production), as a member of the Coal Board for a further period of six months with effect from the 22nd April, 1959.

[No. C5-1(9)/59.]

New Delhi, the 25th April 1959

S.O. 962.—In pursuance of clause 4 of the Colliery Control Order, 1945, as continued in force by section 16 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of

1955), the Central Government hereby fixes the price at which middlings produced by the National Coal Development Corporation (Private) Ltd., at the Bokaro-Kargali Washery shall be sold, at Rs. 15-81 nP. per ton of 2240 lbs. free on ropeway at the said washery.

Explanation.—The price given above is exclusive of Stowing Excise Duty, Rescue Station Excise Duty, Labour Welfare Cess Excise Duty, any tax leviable under the sales tax law of any State or under the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956 (74 of 1956), and any other additional Excise Duty, Cess or impost which the Central Government may hereafter declare to be payable by consumers of coal, but inclusive of all other cesses or imposts.

[No. C5-18(31)/57-Pt. III.]

New Delhi, the 28th April 1959

S.O. 963.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 4 of the Coal Mines (Conservation and Safety) Act, 1952 (12 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. G. Krishnan, Chief Mining Adviser, Eastern Railway, Dhanbad, as a member of the Coal Board with effect from the 28th April 1959.

[No. C5-(6)/59.]

CHHEDI LAL, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agriculture)

(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)

New Delhi, the 28th April 1959

S.O. 964.—In pursuance of the appropriate provisions of the Indian Cotton Cess Act, 1923 (14 of 1923), the Central Government are pleased to nominate/renominate the following persons to be members of the Indian Central Cotton Committee, Bombay, for the period mentioned against each of them:—

<i>S. No.</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Section</i>	<i>Period upto</i>
1.	Shri S. C. Nawn, Bengal Fine Spinning and weaving Mills Ltd., Calcutta.	4 (vi)	31-3-1962
2.	Shri M. Mallaraj Urs, Director of Agriculture, Mysore State, Bangalore.	4 (ix)	31-3-1962
3.	Shri K. Sivasankara Menon, Director of Agriculture, Kerala State, Trivandrum <i>Vice</i> Shri P.D. Nair retired.	4 (ix)	31-3-1960

[No. 1-12/58-Com.II.]

New Delhi, the 15th April 1959

S.O. 965.—In partial modification of this Ministry notification of even number, dated 12th December 1958, the Joint Secretary (Finance), accredited to the Ministry of Food and Agriculture, is nominated to be a member of the Indian Central Cotton Committee, Bombay, till 31st December 1959, *vice* Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture), New Delhi.

[No. 1-12/58-Com.II.]

S.O. 966.—In pursuance of the provisions of Sub-Section (e) of Section 4 of the Indian Oilseeds Committee Act, 1946 (9 of 1946), the Central Government hereby appoint the Director of Agriculture, Bihar, Patna, as a member of the Indian Central Oilseeds Committee for a triennium beginning from the 1st April, 1959, on his being nominated by the State Government of Bihar.

[No. 8-3/59-Com.III/IL]

New Delhi, the 16th April 1959

S.O. 967.—In pursuance of the provisions of clause (c) of Section 4 of the Indian Coconut Committee Act, 1944 (10 of 1944), the Government of Kerala have re-nominated Shri K. P. Madhavan Nair, Member of Parliament, as a member of the Indian Central Coconut Committee for a further period of three years with effect from 1st April, 1959.

[No. 8-3/59-Com.I.]

AJUDHIA PRASADA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH

New Delhi, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 968.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consultation with the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following amendments in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In the said Schedule—

(i) after the entries relating to "Pakistan" the following entries under the heading "Pondicherry" shall be inserted namely:—

"Pondicherry Medical
School, Pondicherry.

Medicine de
'Ecole de Pondicherry
(Diploma)".

(ii) in the first column, the brackets and letter "(b)" occurring below the entry 'University of Melbourne' shall be omitted.

[No. F. 5-53/58-M.I.]

S.O. 969.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 11 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following amendments in the First Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In the said Schedule—

(i) In the entries relating to the University of Bombay, after the entry "Master of Surgery M. S., Bom.", the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"Diploma in Anaesthesia . . . D.A., Bom."

(ii) in the entries relating to the University of Calcutta, after the entry "Master of Obstetrics M.O., Cal." the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"Diploma in Ophthalmic Medicine and Surgery. D.O.M.S., Cal."

(iii) in the entries relating to the University of Andhra, after the entry "Licentiate in Medicine and Surgery L.M.S., Andhra", the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"Diploma in Laryngology and Otology D.L.O., Andhra

Master of Surgery (General Surgery) . M.S. (General Surgery), Andhra

Master of Surgery (Orthopaedics) . M.S.(Orthopaedics) Andhra

Diploma in Venereal Diseases . D.V.D., Andhra.

- (iv) against the University of Delhi after the entry "Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery M.B., B.S.(Delhi) the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

Diploma in Tuberculosis Diseases . . . D.T.D., Delhi.

- (v) in the entries relating to the University of Rajputana, after the entry "Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery, M.B.B.S. (Rajputana), the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"Master of Surgery in General Surgery . . . M.S. (Gen. Surg), Rajputana.

Doctor of Medicine in Medicine & Therapeutics . . . M.D. (Med. & Thera.) Rajputana.

Doctor of Medicine in Pathology & Bacteriology . . . M.D. (Path. & Bacteriology), Rajputana

Master of Science in Physiology. . . M.Sc. (Physiology), Rajputana.

Master of Science in Medical Pharmacology . . . M.Sc. (Med. Pharm.), Rajputana."

- (vi) after the entries relating to the University of Rajputana, the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"University of Rajasthan. "Bachelor of Medicine & Bachelor of Surgery. . . M.B.B.S., Rajasthan.

Master of Surgery in General Surgery . . . M.S. (Genl. Surg.), Rajasthan.

Doctor of Medicine in Medicine & Therapeutics . . . M.D. (Med. & Thera), Rajasthan.

Doctor of Medicine in Pathology & Bacteriology . . . M.D. (Path. & Bacteriology), Rajasthan.

Master of Science in Physiology. . . M.Sc. (Physiology), Rajasthan.

Master of Science (Medical) (Pharmacology) . . . M.Sc. (Medical) (Pharmacology), Rajasthan.

These qualifications shall be recognised medical qualifications only when granted on or after the 1st July, 1957.

Tranvancore University . . . Bachelor of Medicine & M.B.B.S. (Tranvancore).
Bachelor of Surgery.

University of Kerala. . . Bachelor of Medicine & M.B.B.S. (Kerala).
Bachelor of Surgery."

[No. F. 5-53/58-M.I.]

KRISHNA BIHARI, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT & COMMUNICATIONS

(Department of Transport)

PORTS ESTABLISHMENT

New Delhi, the 2nd May 1959

S.O. 970— In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of rule 11, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 14 and sub-rule (i) of rule 23 of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957

the President hereby makes the following amendments in the Schedule to the notification of Government of India, in the late Ministry of Transport No. S.R.O. 610, dated the 28th February, 1957 namely :—

In the said Schedule :—

(1) in “part I—General Central Services, Class II” after the existing entries, the following shall be inserted, namely :—

1	2	3	4
<hr/>			
“Cochin Harbour All posts . . .	Secretary, Department of Transport, Ministry of Transport and Communications.	Secretary, Department of Transport, Ministry of Transport and Communications. Administrative Officer, Cochin Harbour.	All (i)
Vizagapatam All posts . . .	Secretary, Department of Transport, Ministry of Transport & Communications.	Secretary, Department of Transport, Ministry of Transport & Communications. Port Administrative Officer, Vizagapatam Port.	All (i)”

(2) in ‘part II—General Central Service, Class III’ after the heading “Cochin Harbour and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be inserted, namely :—

1	2	3	4	5
<hr/>				
“Vizagapatam Port All posts . . .	Port Administrative Officer, Vizagapatam Port.	Port Administrative Officer Vizagapatam Port. Head of the Office concerned.	All (i)	Secretary Department of Transport, ministry of Transport & Communications. Port Administrative Officer, Vizagapatam Port”;

(3) in “part III— General Central Service, Class IV”, after the heading “Cochin Harbour” and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be inserted, namely :—

1	2	3	4	5
<hr/>				
“Vizagapatam Port. All post in the Administration Department.	Secretary, Vizagapatam Port.	Secretary, Vizagapatam Port.	All	Port Administrative Officer, Vizagapatam Port.
All posts in other Departments.	Head of the Office Concerned.	Head of the Office Concerned.	All	Port Administrative Officer, Vizagapatam Port”.

[No. 17—PLA(58)/57.]

MISS I. INDIRA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF WORKS, HOUSING AND SUPPLY*New Delhi, the 24th April 1959*

S.O. 971.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the Proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that the method and field of recruitment for the post of Technical Assistants in the Regional Supplies and Disposals Offices under the Directorate General of Supplies and Disposals shall be as shown in the Annexure.

ANNEXURE

Recruitment Rules for the post of Technical Assistant in THE REGIONAL S. & D. Offices of the Directorate General of Supplies and Disposals.

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Name of post | Technical Assistant. |
| 2. No. of posts | 3 |
| 3. Classification and whether Gazetted or non-Gazetted. | Non-gazetted. Class III non-Ministerial. |
| 4. Prescribed scale of pay | Rs. 160-10-330. |
| 5. Whether selection post or a non-selection post. | .. |
| 6. Age limit for direct recruitment | 25 years (relaxable in the case of persons belonging to Scheduled Castes/Tribes in accordance with the orders issued by the Government from time to time). |
| 7. Educational or other qualifications required. | A Diploma in Civil, Electrical or Mechanical Engineering from a recognised institution with experience in a workshop or a drawing office for at least one year. |
| 8. Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruitment will apply in case of recruitment by promotion or transfer. | Does not arise. |
| 9. Period of probation if any | 6 months |
| 10. Method of recruitment i.e. (whether by direct recruitment, by promotion or by transfer and percentage of vacancies to be filled by the various modes. | All by direct recruitment. |
| 11. In case of vacancies filled by promotion, transfer, grades/sources from which promotion/transfers are to be made. | Does not arise. |
| 12. Circumstances in which U.P.S.C. is to be consulted in making recruitment. | Does not arise. |
| 13. Remarks. | |

NOTE :—No male candidate who has more than one wife living, and no female candidate who has married a person having already a wife living shall be eligible for appointment, provided that the Govt. of India, after being satisfied that there are special grounds for doing so, exempt any such candidate from the operation of this rule.

[No. ESII-49(3)/59.]

R. RAJAGOPALAN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS**(Railway Board)***New Delhi, the 30th March 1959*

S.O. 972.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clauses (f) and (g) of sub-Section (1) of Section 47 of the Indian Railways Act, 1890 (9 of 1890), read with the notification of the Government of India in the late Department of Commerce and Industry, No. 801 dated the 24th March, 1905, the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) hereby make the following amendment with effect from 1st June, 1959, in the rules published in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry

of Railways (Railway Board) No. TC. III/3036/58/Notifications dated the 28th August, 1958 namely:—

In sub-rule (d) of rule 5 of the said rules, for the brackets and words "(subject to a minimum charge of 12 NP. per package)" the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"(subject to a minimum charge of 15 NP. per package)".

[No. TC. III/3036/58.]

M. SRINIVASAN, Joint Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1959

S.O. 973.—The following draft of a further amendment of the Calcutta Unregistered Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1957, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be effected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 1st June 1959.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Amendment

After the first proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 9, the following proviso shall be inserted, namely:—

"Provided further that a dock worker who is temporarily medically unfit may be listed provisionally subject to the condition that—

- (i) the ailment leading to temporary unfitness is declared as being curable within a reasonable period;
- (ii) the period of provisional listing shall not exceed six months unless an extension is granted by the Board; and
- (iii) if after the period or extended period of provisional listing the worker is still found unfit, his listing shall not be renewed."

[No. Fac. 184(11)/59.]

New Delhi, the 27th April 1959

S.O. 974.—In pursuance of paragraph 3 of the Coal Mines Provident Fund Scheme published with the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. PF-15(5)48 dated the 11th December, 1948, the Central Government hereby nominates Shri V. V. Ananta Krishnan, IAS, Internal Financial Adviser, and *ex-officio* Deputy Secretary, to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, to the Board of Trustees and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. SRO 2227 dated the 5th October, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification, for the entry "(2) Shri A. K. Basu, Internal Financial Adviser, Ministry of Labour & Employment, New Delhi", the entry "(2) Shri V. V. Ananta Krishnan, IAS, Internal Financial Adviser and *ex-officio* Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, New Delhi" shall be substituted.

[No. PF-I/4(35)58.]

P. D. GAIHA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 975.—The Government of the State of Mysore having nominated, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), Shri K. R. Marudeva Gowda, I.A.S., Commissioner of Labour in Mysore, Bangalore, as a member representing the said State on the Employees' State Insurance Corporation, in place of Shri M. S. Meccai, B.A., the Central Government in pursuance of the said section 4,

hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. HI-1(196)/ dated the 15th March, 1958, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading 'Members' and sub-heading '[Nominated by the State Governments under clause (d) of section 4]', for item 16, the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"16. Shri Marudeva Gowda, I.A.S., Commissioner of Labour in Mysore, Bangalore."

[No. HI-1(196)/57.]

BALWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 976.—In pursuance of rule 3(3)(b) of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Rules, 1948, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Gokul Prasad Sharma, member, as the Vice-Chairman of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Advisory Committee for Rajasthan, constituted in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. HI-1(196)/57 dated the 24th April, 1958, published on page 475 of the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 3rd May, 1958.

[No. MIII-31(3)56.]

New Delhi, the 28th April, 1959

S.O. 977.—In pursuance of regulation 25 of the Coal Mines Regulations, 1957, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri T. C. Puri, I.C.S., to hold the enquiry in the place of Shri K. Raman, I.C.S., and further appoints Shri G. W. Hogg as an assessor and relieves Sarvashri A. A. Beard and M. K. Bose of their duties as assessors and directs that the following amendments be made in the notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour S.R.O. 478, dated the 18th February, 1956, as amended by notification S.O. 1713 dated the 14th August, 1958 and S.O. 2031 dated the 25th September, 1958, namely:—

In the said notification—

- (i) for the words "Shri K. Raman, ICS.," the words "Shri T. C. Puri, ICS.," shall be substituted;
- (ii) for the words "persons to act, as assessors to assist Shri K. Raman" the words "person to act as assessor to assist Shri T. C. Puri" shall be substituted;
- (iii) for the entry "(1) Shri A. A. Beard, Office of the Chief Mining Engineer, Bird & Co., Ltd., F. W. Heggiers & Co., Ltd., Sijua, P.O. (Manbhum District)" the entry "Shri G. W. Hogg, Deputy Superintendent of Collieries, P.O. Dishergarh, District Burdwan" shall be substituted; and
- (iv) the entry "(2) M. K. Bose, Agent, Macneill and Barry Ltd., Bhutgoria Colliery, Bhaga (District Manbhum)" shall be omitted.

[No. MI-3(14)58.]

S.O. 978.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government, that Sarvashri P. K. Dan and D. N. Das Gupta, who were employed as Manager and Surveyor respectively in the Central Bhowrah Colliery and who are holders of a Manager's and a Surveyor's certificates respectively, are unfit to continue to hold such certificates by reason of incompetence or gross negligence or misconduct in the performance of their duties under the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952) or under regulations made thereunder;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of regulation 25 of the Coal Mines Regulations, 1957, the Central Government hereby appoints a Court consisting of Shri T. C. Puri, I.C.S., Commissioner, Chotanagpur Division, Ranchi, to hold an inquiry at Ranchi to determine as to whether or not the said Shri P. K. Dan and Shri D. N. Das Gupta are fit to continue to hold such certificates. The Central Government further directs that the said inquiry may be conducted with the assistance of the following assessor, namely:—

Shri R. N. Sharma, Agent, Tata's Colliery, Post Office Sijua, District Dhanbad.

[No. MI-3(21)/58.]

S.O. 979.—In pursuance of regulation 25 of the Coal Mines Regulations, 1957, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri T. C. Puri, I.C.S., to hold the enquiry in the place of Shri K. Raman, I.C.S., and relieves Shri M. K. Bose of his duties as an assessor and makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, S.R.O. 51 dated the 27th December, 1956, as amended by notification No. S.O. 2590 dated the 2nd December, 1958, namely:—

In the said notification—

- (i) for "Shri K. Raman, I.C.S.," "Shri T. C. Puri, I.C.S.," shall be substituted;
- (ii) for the word "assessors" the word "assessor" shall be substituted;
- (iii) the brackets and figure "(i)" and the entry "(ii) Shri M. K. Bose, Agent, Macneill and Barry Ltd., Bhutgoria Colliery, Bhaga (Distt. Manbhum)" shall be omitted.

[No. MI-3(18)58.]

P. N. SHARMA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1959

S.O. 980.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of Shri G. S. Jabbi, Additional Chief Inspector of Mines, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jogta Colliery and their workmen represented by the Koyla Mazdoor Panchayat, Jharlia.

BEFORE SHRI G. S. JABBI, A.I.S.M., ADDITIONAL CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES, IN INDIA, ARBITRATOR

ARBITRATION No. 1 OF 1959

PARTIES

Employers in relation to the Jogta colliery.

AND

Their workmen represented by the Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat.

PRESENT

Shri G. S. Jabbi, A.I.S.M., Arbitrator.

APPEARANCE

Shri A. P. Mewar, Agent, with Shri S. C. Roy, Manager, and Shri R. K. Majumdar, Chief Welfare Officer—for the employers.

Shri Mahesh Desai, General Secretary, Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat—for the workers.

State: Bihar.

Industry: Coal

AWARD

By an arbitration agreement dated the 15th October, 1958, duly signed by the parties, made under sub-section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Employers in relation to the Jogta colliery and their workmen represented by the Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat, Jharlia referred the industrial dispute in respect of the following matters as specified in the said agreement to my arbitration:—

- (a) Whether the action of the management in transferring ten miners (of whom 7 have carried out the transfer order and 3 have refused to do so) from No. 11 seam to No. 12 seam was mala fide.
- (b) whether the punishment of suspension imposed on the miners of No. 11 seam (numbering about 57) from the latter part of September, 1957, was justified or not.
- (c) In the light of the findings under clauses (a) & (b) above whether the above noted miners of No. 11 seam (numbering about 60) who were involved in the dispute between the management and the miners are entitled to any wages or compensation during the period from 17th September, 1957, till the date of resumption of normal work.

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, New Delhi, by Order No. LR11-1(1)/59 dated the 8th January, 1959, in pursuance of Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), was

pleased to publish the said arbitration agreement in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3(ii) dated the 17th January 1959 *vide* Notification No. S.O. 160 dated the 8th January, 1959.

Thereafter Notices were issued to the parties to file their respective written statements. The Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat, on behalf of the workmen filed the Written Statement on 13th February 1959 and the Employers filed their Written Statement in reply thereto on 9th March, 1959.

The dispute was thereafter taken up for hearing on 30th March, 1959. At the continued hearing on 31st March, 1959, the parties arrived at a mutual agreement and filed before me the terms of the settlement and prayed that an award be made in terms thereof. I am satisfied that, on the facts and circumstances of the case, the terms of the settlement are fair and reasonable, and I make an award in terms of the agreement which shall form a part of this award and marked Annexure "A".

This Arbitration Award is submitted to the Government as required by sub-section (4) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947).

(Sd.) G. S. JABBI,

Additional Chief Inspector of Mines.

Dhanbad,

Dated, the 2nd March, 1959.

ANNEXURE "A"

BEFORE THE HON'BLE ARBITRATOR, SHRI G. S. JABBI, A.I.S.M.,
ADDITIONAL CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES IN INDIA, DHANBAD

In the matter of Arbitration No. 1 of 1959.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Jogta colliery

AND

Their workmen, represented by the Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat, Jharia.

The parties above-named hereby agree.

1. That the management shall pay 25 per cent. (Twenty-five per cent.) of the category V wages (basic wages & dearness allowance) to all the 60 (sixty) workmen involved in this dispute under reference to Arbitration, for the working days and paid holidays during the period from 17th September, 1957 to 26th October, 1957.

2. That the management shall make payment as above within 30 days of the date of this agreement.

3. That those workmen, covered by this agreement, who do not receive their payment within 30 days as aforesaid shall be entitled to claim and receive such payments upto a period of six months from the date of this agreement.

4. That the payment as above shall be without prejudice to the contentions of the parties in any other proceedings pertaining to the stoppage of work from 17th September, 1957 to 26th October, 1957.

5. The parties hereby pray that the Hon'ble Arbitrator be pleased to dispose of the reference in terms of this agreement and for this the parties shall ever pray.

For the Workmen:

MAHESH DESAI,

General Secretary,

Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat, Jharia.

Dhanbad,

Dated, the 31st March, 1959.

Taken on file,

(G. S. Jabbi), Arbitrator.

For the Employers:

A. P. MEWAR,

Agent,

The Hind Shippers (Private) Ltd.

S. C. Roy,

Manager,

New Delh, the 24th April 1959

S.O. 981.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the New Jemehari Khas Colliery, P. O. Jaykaynagar, Burdwan and their workmen.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
DHANBAD.**

REFERENCE No. 6 OF 1959

PARTIES:

The employers in relation to the New Jemehari Khas Colliery.

AND

their workmen.

Dhanbad, dated the 14th April 1959

PRESENT.—Shri Salim M. Merchant, B.A., LL.B.—*Chairman.*

APPEARANCES:

Shri D. C. Dev,

Secretary, Jemehari Khas Colliery—*for the employers.*

Shri Kalyan Roy,

General Secretary, Indian Mine Workers Federation—*for the workmen.*

State: West Bengal

Industry: Coal

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, by Order No. LR.II-2(98)/58 dated 17th January 1959 made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above named in respect of the subject matter stated in the following schedule to the said order:—

“Whether the management of New Jemehari Khas Colliery were justified in dismissing Shri Ramchandra Ahir and, if not, to what relief is he entitled?”

2. After the usual notices were issued the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, representing the workmen, filed the statement of claim on 24th February, 1959, and the company filed its written statement in reply on 11th March, 1959, after which the dispute was heard on 9th April, 1959.

3. The facts of the case briefly stated are that Ramchandra Ahir, the dismissed workmen was employed as a Pick Miner in this colliery. It is admitted that he was dismissed from service by the company's letter dated 9th April, 1958, on the ground that he had remained absent from duty without leave and without satisfactory cause for more than 10 days from 13th March, 1958, which is a misconduct under the company's standing order No. 27 (16), punishable with dismissal. According to the management on 12th March, 1958, the then Manager of the colliery, Shri M. Sinha was brutally assaulted by an unlawful assembly of colliery workers, including Ramchandra Ahir, and that he suffered several fractures; that thereafter since 13th March, 1958, Ahir absented himself from duty, as he was wanted by the Police and had absconded, he having surrendered himself to the Police only on 7th April, 1958. It is admitted that in the police case which followed, Ramachandra Ahir and 5 other workmen were convicted under Section 147 I. P. C. by the First Class Magistrate, Asansol, on 13th October, 1958, and Ahir was sentenced to suffer six months R. I. (Exhibit E-2). From that conviction Ahir has filed an appeal which is now pending. According to the Union, Ramchandra Ahir had worked till 14th March, 1958, and was absent from duty from 15th March, 1958, as he fell seriously ill from that date; that he had written a letter to the Manager to send the Colliery Doctor to treat him but the doctor was not sent; that the company had arbitrarily and in order to victimise him for his trade union activities dismissed him from service by its letter dated 9th April, 1958. The Union claims that Ahir was the Assistant Secretary of the Local Branch of the Union and that in the past also the management had attempted to

victimise him but without success. But these allegations are denied by the management and no evidence was led at the hearing to establish these allegations, except that Shri Kalyan Roy filed a letter from the management dated 14th January, 1958, addressed to Ramchandra Ahir containing a reference to an earlier charge sheet (Exhibit W-A) and the failure report of the Conciliation Officer (Central), Ranigunj dated 21-6-1958 (Exhibit W-B).

4. It was, however, clearly established at the hearing before me that the letter which Ahir wrote to the management asking for leave from 14th March 1958 on the ground of his sickness, though dated 19th March 1958 was actually registered only on 24th March 1958 and that too at the Jaykaynagar Post Office and was received by the Manager only on 27th March 1958 (Exhibit E-1). In that letter Ahir had stated that he was suffering from fever since 14th March 1958 and that he had sent men to the colliery's doctor to see him in his dhowrah. But the doctor did not turn up and thereupon he sent an application to the Manager but the Labour Officer refused to accept the letter and therefore he was sending the letter under registered post. He therefore prayed that he may be sanctioned sick leave from 14th March 1958 until he was fit to join duty. As I have stated, the letter though dated 19th March 1958 was registered as is proved from the postal marks on the envelope produced, (Exhibit E-1) only on 23rd March 1958 and was received by the manager on 27th March 1958. It is also clear from the order sheet in Police case No. 539 of 1958 (Exhibit E-5) that Ramchandra Ahir was wanted in the police case proceedings which were instituted on 13th March 1958 and that Ahir had subsequently surrendered himself to the police only on 7th April 1958.

5. The management's case is that Ahir had absented himself from work on and from 13th March, 1958 as he had made himself scarce because he was wanted by the Police and that his story that he was unable to attend duty because he had taken ill from 14th March, 1958 was false. Ahir has not given evidence in this case but the management has led the evidence of the Medical Officer of the company Shri J. N. Acharya (E.W. 1) and of the attendance clerk Shri B. B. Chatterjee (E.W. 2) who produced the attendance register for the relevant period, (Exhibit E-8). The Attendance Register establishes that Ahir was absent on and from 13th March, 1958. From the evidence of these witnesses and the documents on record, I am more than satisfied that Ahir had absented himself from work since 13th March, 1958 not because he was lying sick as alleged by him, but because he had made himself scarce since 13th March, 1958 because he was wanted by the police in the case arising out of the assault on the Manager. I am satisfied that he was thus guilty of misconduct under the company's standing order 27(16).

6. Shri Kalyan Roy, has next argued that the dismissal was illegal and unjustified because the management had not issued a formal charge sheet or held an enquiry as required by the Standing Orders. After receipt of Ahir's letter of 19th March, 1958 the management had replied by its letter dated 31st March, 1958 (Exhibit E-4) stating that,

"Though you have given your address as C P Dhowrah, it is clear from the letter and envelope that the letter was written by you from another address. Besides as you know, since you were concerned in the case of brutal attack upon the Manager of the colliery regarding incident on 12th March, 1958 you absconded from the colliery premises and you could not be apprehended by the police who is investigating the above case so far. On the face of the facts your plea in the letter put forward with an ulterior design cannot be entertained as a *bona fide* one being based on untrue statements and is rejected. There is not an iota of truth in what you have stated in the above letter.

You have absented yourself from work without sufficient reason from 13th March, 1958 for which amongst other misconduct you are liable for disciplinary action."

No reply was received from Ahir to this letter which incidentally was received by him not at his Dhowrah but at his union office, and the management thereupon sent him the letter of dismissal dated 9th April, 1958. In these circumstances, it is clear beyond doubt that Ramchandra Ahir had really absented himself for more than 10 days from 13th March, 1958 not because he was ill as alleged by him, but because he was wanted by the police. This was clearly a misconduct under the standing orders of the company for which he was liable to be dismissed. I do not think that any useful purpose would have been served by issuing a formal charge-sheet and holding an enquiry and that the failure of the company to issue a formal

charge sheet and hold an enquiry does not make the dismissal illegal. In two cases on almost similar facts the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that failure to issue a charge sheet and hold an enquiry does not make the dismissal illegal. (See *Indian Iron & Steel Co. Ltd. Vs. Their workmen—1958—ILLJ p. 280*, and *Burn & Co., Calcutta Vs. Their Employees—1957—ILLJ p. 226*). In any case Ramchandra Ahir had a further opportunity at the hearing of this reference to prove that he had absented himself from duty from 13th March, 1958 because he was sick but as I have stated earlier Ramchandra Ahir was not even present at the hearing of this case nor was any evidence led by the union to establish the story of his alleged sickness.

7. It was next contended that Ahir's dismissal was illegal in as much as on the date of his dismissal on 9th April, 1958 an industrial dispute, Reference No. 18 of 1958, was pending before this Tribunal and its permission for his dismissal was not taken as required by Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The Union in para 7 of its written statement of claim has stated that the dismissal was bad because, "no permission of the Tribunal was taken." This would indicate that the case fell within the provision of Section 33(3) of the Act which applies only to "protected persons concerned in such dispute". Now, it was not established at the hearing that Ahir was a "protected person," nor was it established that he was a workman concerned in the earlier Industrial Dispute Reference No. 18 of 1958, before this Tribunal. It cannot, therefore, be held that there was a violation of Section 33 of the Act as alleged by the Union.

8. On the documents and oral evidence on record, I am more than satisfied that the management was justified in dismissing Ramchandra Ahir from service. Since I uphold the company's dismissal order, the question of granting him any relief does not arise.

9. No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,

Chairman,

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

Dhanbad,

The 14th April, 1959.

[No. LR II/2(98)/58.]

New Delhi, the 25th April 1959

S.O. 982.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following awards of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi in the matter of applications under section 33A of the said Act from certain workmen of the Palana Colliery.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DELHI

PRESENT:

Shri E. Krishna Murthi, Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal.

11th April, 1959

APPLICATIONS U/S. 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

I.D. No. 64 of 1959

Between Shri Ram Swaroop S/o Narain Ram, Underground Trammer, Palana Colliery, C/o Palana Colliery Mazdoor Union, Administrative Office, Khajanchi Building, K. E. M. Road, Bikaner.

I.D. No. 65 of 1959

Between Shri Moti Ram S/o Nanda Ram, Underground Trammer, Palana Colliery, C/o Palana Colliery Mazdoor Union, Administrative Office, Khajanchi Building, K. E. M. Road, Bikaner—*Applicants*.

. And

The Management, Palana Colliery, Palana—*Opposite Party*.

IN THE MATTER OF I.D. No. 81 of 1958.

Dr. Jawahar Lal—for the applicants—workmen.

Mr. M. G. Fell—for the opposite party—management.

AWARD

These are two petitions under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act.

2. The allegations in both the petitions are to the effect, that the respective petitioners were punished by the management illegally, by imposing upon them the punishment of suspension for two days without pay on 22nd and 23rd January, 1959, that this is in contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, and that this order of suspension should be set aside.

3. The management contend, that there is no contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, that in any case, the punishment of two days suspension had been imposed in accordance with the Standing Orders validly, that the two individuals were guilty of disorderly behaviour and subversion of discipline, and that there are no grounds for interference with the orders passed by the management.

4. The issues, that arise for determination, are:—

- (1) Whether there is a contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act?
- (2) Whether both these petitions are maintainable?
- (3) Whether the order of suspension passed against the workmen is valid and justified?
- (4) To what relief, if any, are the workmen entitled?

Issues No. 1—4.

5. These petitions have been tried together at the request of the parties, and are disposed of by a common judgment.

6. When these petitions came on for hearing, both parties were persuaded to agree to a settlement. On behalf of the management Mr. Fell filed the memo. marked as Ext. M/2, which is in the following terms:—

"In view of the fact that the misconduct of Moti Ram and Ram Swaroop was their first of this kind, the management is willing to withdraw the punishment of suspension and issue a warning instead.

They will be severely dealt with if they are guilty of misconduct in future. The wages withheld for the two days of suspension."

7. In view of the above settlement, the punishment of suspension for two days imposed on both the petitioners by the management is set aside. The wages withheld for the said two days of suspension shall be paid by the management of the Palana Colliery, Palana to the respective petitioners.

8. At the same time, both the workmen are severely warned that they should not give any room for complaint about their conduct and behaviour in future.

9. In both the petitions, an award is passed in the above terms. There will be no order as to costs.

(Two pages)

11th April, 1959.

E. KRISHNA MURTI,

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal, Delhi.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL: DELHI.

PRESENT:

Shri E. Krishna Murti, Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal.

11th April, 1959

APPLICATIONS U/S 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

I.D. No. 58 of 1959

Between Ram Lal S/o Dungan Ram, Coal Cutter, Palana Colliery.

I.D. No. 59 of 1959

Between Ram Lal S/o Pooran Ram, Chairman, Palana Colliery and presently working as Boiler Attendant.

I.D. No. 60 of 1959

Between Dhonkal Ram S/o Purkha Ram, Senior Surface Mate and presently working as Registrar Clerk, Palana Colliery.

I.D. No. 61 of 1959

Between Peerbux S/o Jamal Khan, Timberman, Palana Colliery.

I.D. No. 62 of 1959

Between Khema Ram S/o Durga Ram, Timberman, Palana Colliery.

I.D. No. 63 of 1959

Between Heera Ram S/o Sawanta Ram, Coal Cutter, Palana Colliery. C/o Palana Colliery Mazdoor Union, Administrative Office, Khajanchi Building, K.E.M. Road, Bikaner—Applicants.

AND

The Management, Palana Colliery, Palana—Opposite Party.

IN THE MATTER OF Reference I.D. No. 81 of 1958.

Dr. Jawahar Lal—for the applicants—workmen.

Mr. M. G. Fell—for the opposite party—management.

AWARD

The above-mentioned petitions have all been filed under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act.

2. The allegations in the several petitions are identical, and they are to the effect, that the opposite party, the management of the Colliery, contravened the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, that the several applicants were permanent workmen of the Colliery, that the management altered the conditions of service applicable to them to their prejudice by imposing four days suspension without pay, that the said action of the management is illegal, unjustified, and *mala fide*, and that suitable orders may be passed in this connection.

3. The common contention, that has been raised on behalf of the management in the several counters filed by them in answer to these petitions is, that there is no contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, that these several petitions do not lie under Section 33A of the Act, that there has been no alteration of the conditions of service, as contended on behalf of the workmen, that the objections raised by them are in respect of matters unconnected with the dispute, that the action of the management is perfectly legal and valid, that the workmen are not entitled to question the same, that the management acted *bona fide*, and that there are no grounds for awarding relief to the several workmen.

4. The issues, that arise for determination, are:—

- (1) Whether there is a contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act?
- (2) Whether these several petitions are maintainable under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act?
- (3) Whether there has been alteration in the conditions of service of the workmen to their prejudice, as contended by them?
- (4) Whether the action of the management is legal and justified?
- (5) To what relief, if any, are the several workmen entitled?

Issues No. 1—5

5. These several petitions were heard together at the request of the parties and are disposed of by a common judgment.

6. At the time these petitions came on for hearing, and after the documents, Exts. W/1—12, had been marked on behalf of the workmen, the management were persuaded to agree to withdraw the charges against the several petitioners and revoke the order of suspension passed by them. The management filed the memo. marked Ext. M/1, which is in the following terms:—

"In the above mentioned complaints, the charge-sheets are very old ones, and because of the undersigned having been busy, an enquiry could not be conducted.

The charges against these persons are being withdrawn, and they will be paid their wages for their suspension period, if they have not already been paid.

Sd/- M. G. FELL,

Manager, Palana Colliery, Palana.

Dated Bikaner 8-4-59"

7. In view of the above settlement, the charges referred to above against these several petitioners shall be withdrawn, and the order of suspension revoked and each of the said petitioners shall be paid wages for the suspension period if they have not already been paid.

8. In the result, an award is passed in all the several petitions in the above terms, and there will be no order as to costs.

(Three pages)
11th April 1959

E. KRISHNA MURTI,
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal: Delhi.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DELHI.

PRESENT:

Shri E. Krishna Murti, Central
Govt. Industrial Tribunal.
11th April, 1959.

APPLICATIONS U/S 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

I.D. No. 13 OF 1959.
Between Narain Ram S/o Pcera Ram.
I.D. No. 14 OF 1959.
Between Rekha Ram S/o Chatara Ram.
I.D. No. 16 OF 1959.
Between Heera Ram S/o Sanwata Ram.
I.D. No. 21 OF 1959.
Between Kita Ram S/o Kesara Ram.
I.D. No. 23 OF 1959.
Between Phusa Ram S/o Adu Ram.
I.D. No. 24 OF 1959.
Between Shiya Ram S/o Amra Ram.
I.D. No. 25 OF 1959.
Between Bega Ram S/o Sardara Ram.
I.D. No. 26 OF 1959.
Between Asu Ram S/o Sawanta Ram.
I.D. No. 27 OF 1959.
Between Kumbha Ram S/o Phusa Ram.
I.D. No. 28 OF 1959.
Between Kastoor Ram S/o Ishar Ram.
I.D. No. 29 OF 1959.
Between Kheraj Ram S/o Pema Ram.
I.D. No. 31 OF 1959.
Between Sukh Ram S/o Deba Ram.
I.D. No. 32 OF 1959.
Between Ramu Ram S/o Purkha Ram.
I.D. No. 33 OF 1959.
Between Udai Ram S/o Banna Ram.
I.D. No. 34 OF 1959.
Between Asha Ram S/o Shivji Ram.
I.D. No. 36 OF 1959.
Between Shera Ram S/o Panna Ram.
I.D. No. 37 OF 1959.
Between Ram Lal S/o Dungan Ram.
I.D. No. 42 OF 1959.
Between Dhura Ram S/o Nanda Ram.
I.D. No. 52 OF 1959.
Between Adu Ram S/o Kheraj Ram.
I.D. No. 53 OF 1959.
Between Heera Ram S/o Nanu Ram.
I.D. No. 56 OF 1959.
Between Poora Ram S/o Ladhu Ram.
I.D. No. 76 OF 1959.
Between Dhura Ram S/o Chima Ram.

Coal Cutters, Palana Colliery,
C/o Palana Colliery Mazdoor
Union, Administrative Office
Khajanchi Building, K.E.M.
Road, Bikaner.

I.D. No. 214 OF 1959.
Between Manna Ram S/o Panna Ram, Coal Cutter, working as Suru e Mazdoor, Palana Colliery, Palana.

I.D. No. 15 OF 1959.
 Between Megha Ram S/o Tulchha Ram.
 I.D. No. 17 OF 1959.
 Between Lalu Ram S/o Shera Ram.
 I.D. No. 19 OF 1959.
 Between Panna Ram S/o Bheroon Ram.
 I.D. No. 20 OF 1959.
 Between Adu Ram S/o Prabhu Ram.
 I.D. No. 22 OF 1959.
 Between Govind Ram S/o Durga Ram.
 I.D. No. 35 OF 1959.
 Between Mehraj Ram S/o Khema Ram.
 I.D. No. 38 OF 1959.
 Between Adu Ram S/o Jetha Ram.
 I.D. No. 39 OF 1959.
 Between Chhoga Ram S/o Jetha Ram.
 I.D. No. 40 OF 1959.
 Between Sugna Ram S/o Lichha Ram.
 I.D. No. 41 OF 1959.
 Between Rewant Ram S/o Jetha Ram.
 I.D. No. 43 OF 1959.
 Between Dhura Ram S/o Kishna Ram.
 I.D. No. 44 OF 1959.
 Between Banna Ram S/o Bhairun Ram.
 I.D. No. 45 OF 1959.
 Between Adoo Ram S/o Pooran Ram.
 I.D. No. 50 OF 1959.
 Between Guna Ram S/o Kheta Ram.
 I.D. No. 51 OF 1959.
 Between Hardas S/o Chaina Ram.
 I.D. No. 54 OF 1959.
 Between Laloo Ram S/o Chaina Ram.
 I.D. No. 55 OF 1959.
 Between Pura Ram S/o Anna Ram.
 I.D. No. 75 OF 1959.
 Between Malla Ram S/o Dhanna Ram.
 I.D. No. 18 OF 1959.
 Between Hema Ram S/o Kheta Ram.
 I.D. No. 48 OF 1959.
 Between Magha Ram S/o Ladhu Ram.
 I.D. No. 49 OF 1959.
 Between Bhera Ram S/o Anna Ram.
 I.D. No. 57 OF 1959.
 Between Khema Ram S/o Biram Ram.
 I.D. No. 30 OF 1959.
 Between Bhanwar Singh S/o Jor Singh.
 I.D. No. 46 OF 1959.
 Between Soni D/o Panna Ram, Wagon Loader, Palana Colliery.
 I.D. No. 84 OF 1959.
 Between Ratna Ram S/o Uda Ram.
 I.D. No. 85 OF 1959.
 Between Ram Lal S/o Hazari Ram.

Coal Cutters, Palana Colliery,
 C/o Palana Colliery Mazdoor
 Union, Administrative Office,
 Khajanchi Building, K.E.M.
 Road, Bikaner.

Applicants.

AND

The Management, Palana Colliery, Palana—*Opposite Party.*

IN THE MATTER OF Reference I.D. No. 81 of 1958.

Dr. Jawahar Lal—for the applicants—workmen.

Mr. M. G. Fell—for the opposite party—management.

AWARD

These several petitions have been filed under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act.

2. The allegations in the several petitions are practically identical. In petitions No. 13, 14, 16, 21, 23—29, 31—34, 36, 37, 42, 52, 53, 56, 76, and 214 the allegations are that the several petitioners were permanent workmen of the Palana

Colliery, and were doing the job of Coal Cutters, that the management unlawfully and in contravention of the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act converted them to daily rated workmen, and made them Truck Loaders of the Colliery, that the work of Coal Cutters is being got done by the management by other persons, that the action of the management amounts to a contravention of Section 33(1)(a) and (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, and that suitable orders may be passed on the several petitions.

3. In petitions Nos. 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 35, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 45, 50, 51, 54, 55 and 75 the allegations are to the effect, that the several petitioners, who were working as Coal Cutters, and in the list of permanent workmen, were converted into Mason Mazdoors, that the said action of the management in converting them from piece rated coal cutters to daily rated mazdoors is a contravention of the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, and amounts to alteration of the conditions of service, and that suitable orders may be passed in this connection.

4. In I.D. Nos. 18, 48, 49 and 57 the complaint of the several petitioners is, that they were all engaged in the permanent job of coal cutters, that they were converted into Mitti Cutters in the Colliery, that in their place other persons have been appointed to do their job of coal cutters, that this amounts to contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, and that necessary relief should be awarded to them.

5. In I.D. Nos. 30, 46, 84 and 85 the several petitioners state, that they were all engaged in the permanent job of coal cutters in the Colliery, that they have been converted into daily rated workmen like Mason Mazdoor, Surface Mazdoor, Linc Mazdoor, and Chowkidar, that this amounts to unauthorised alteration of the conditions of service, that there is a contravention of the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, and that the action of the management is illegal.

6. In all the above-mentioned petitions the contention on behalf of the management is that there is no contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, that the several petitions are not maintainable, that there has been no alteration of the conditions of service to the prejudice of the workmen, and that the workmen are not entitled to any relief in the several petitions.

7. The issues, that arise for determination, are:—

- (1) Whether there is a contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act?
- (2) Whether these several petitions are maintainable under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act?
- (3) Whether there is an alteration in the conditions of service to the prejudice of the workmen, as alleged by them?
- (4) To what relief, if any, are the several workmen entitled?

Issues Nos. 1—3.

8. These several petitions have all been heard together at the request of parties on either side, and are disposed of by a common judgment.

9. The several petitioners were engaged as Coal Cutters in the Palana Colliery. It is not disputed, that these several persons were in the list of permanent workmen. The complaint of the several petitioners is, that the management have converted them into daily rated mazdoors. The several petitioners who as coal cutters were piece rated workers, have been converted into daily rated workers like truck loaders or mazdoors. The contention on behalf of the workmen is, that this amounts to alteration of the conditions of service to their prejudice, and that their emoluments also had been adversely affected.

10. In support of the contention on behalf of the workmen, reference has been made to Section 9-A, which lays down, that notice of change should be given. Particular emphasis has been laid by Dr. Jawahar Lal for the workmen on Item Nos. 1 and 11 in the Fourth Schedule, which are in the following terms:—

"1. Wages, including the period and mode of payment."

"11. Any increases or reduction (other than casual) in the number of persons employed or to be employed in any occupation or process or department or shift, not due to forced matters."

It is contended, that the action of the management amounts to unlawful interference with the conditions of service applicable to the workmen immediately before the commencement of the proceedings under the Industrial Disputes Act,

and that the management must be directed to place all these several persons in the category of coal cutters and pay them wages as such. In my opinion, the contention on behalf of the workmen is well founded. The argument on behalf of the management, that the conversion of coal cutters into daily rated mazdoors does not adversely affect them, is not entitled to weight. Under the award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Colliery Disputes) the Coal Cutters are treated as piece rated workmen. They are entitled to wages as mentioned in the Award, as modified by the Labour Appellate Tribunal. To convert these piece rated workers into daily rated workers does certainly amount to a change in the conditions of service to their prejudice. It may be noted, that in the aforesaid Award in paragraph 514, there is reference to the distinction between unskilled, semi-skilled, or highly skilled workmen. Applying the test laid down therein, Categories Nos. 3 to 6 are treated as semi-skilled. The coal cutters are in Category No. 5 according to the Award, i.e., they are semi-skilled workmen. But unskilled workers are in Categories Nos. 1 and 2. To treat the Coal Cutters, who are semi-skilled workers as daily rated mazdoors, who are unskilled workers, is certainly unjustified, and is opposed to the conditions of service of the several petitioners. Even in the revised award of the Labour Appellate Tribunal, the Pick Miners are in Category No. 5. It is no doubt true, that the management have discretion to transfer an employee from one job to another provided the job, to which he is transferred, does not involve reduction in wages. In these several petitions it is contended, that the management's action does involve reduction in wages, especially when these workers as coal cutters are entitled to piece rate wages. The several petitioners contend, that the alternative job assigned to them carries a lesser rate of wages. It does not appear from the record, that these several workmen were given an assurance by the management, that they would receive the same wages as they were earning in the past as coal cutters. It is also important to note, that the petitioners are in the list of permanent workmen as coal cutters. It will not do to convert them into daily rated workers. There is also a danger of their being treated as casual workers when they are converted into daily rated workers. Taking all circumstances into consideration, I am of opinion, that the action of the management does amount to an alteration in the conditions of service of the several petitioners to the prejudice of these workmen, and such action of the management contravenes Section 33(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act. It is obvious, that no petition was ever filed under Section 33(2) of the Act. The workmen have gone so far as to contend, that the question of wages of coal cutters is the subject-matter of the dispute, that converting them into daily rated mazdoors amounts to an alteration of the conditions of service in regard to a matter connected with the dispute, and that, in this view, also, there is contravention of Section 33(1)(a) of the Industrial Disputes Act. In my opinion the action of the management amounts to contravention of Section 33(1)(a) or (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, and these several petitions are maintainable. I find in these issues, that there is an alteration of the conditions of service of the workmen to their prejudice without authorisation, that this action of the management amounts to contravention of Section 33(1)(a) or (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, and that these several petitions are maintainable.

Issue No. 4.

11. The alteration of the conditions of service to the prejudice of the workmen is not justified, and there is no material on record authorising the action of the management. The several petitioners must be put back in the category of coal cutters and paid wages as such.

12. In the result, the several petitions are allowed, and the respective petitioners shall be put back into the category of coal cutters in the list of permanent workmen, and their inclusion in the category of daily rated mazdoors shall be put an end to. They will be paid wages according to the wages applicable to them as piece rated coal cutters. There will be no order as to costs in all the petitions.

13. An award is passed in each of the several petitions in the above terms.

(Eight pages)

E. KRISHNA MURTI,

11th April, 1959. Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal Delhi.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL: DELHI.

PRESENT:

Shri E. Krishna Murti, Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal.

11th April, 1959

Application u/s 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Applicants.

I.D. No. 47 of 1959
Between Gogli D/o. Jetha Ram.

I.D. No. 81 of 1959
Between Mirke D/o. Bhera Ram.

ID. No. 82 of 1959
Between Ridhuri D/o Kana Ram.

I.D. No. 83 of 1959

I.D. No. 86 of 1959
Between Goverli D/o. Hannota Ram.

Between Lichhma D/o. Khinaya Ram.

Wagon Loaders, Palana Colliery,
C/o Palana Colliery Mazdoor
Union, Administrative Office,
Khatauchi Building, K.E.M.
Road, Bikaner.

AND

The Management, Palana Colliery, Palana—Opposite Party.

In the matter of Reference I.D. No. 81 of 1958.

Dr. Jawahar Lal—for the applicants—workmen.

Mr. M. G. Feli—for the opposite party—management.

AWARD

These several petitions have been filed under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act by certain workmen of the Palana Colliery, Palana.

2. The allegations in the several petitions are practically identical, and they are to the effect, that the opposite party, the management of the Palana Colliery, Palana, have been guilty of contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, that the petitioners have been permanent workmen of the Colliery, being Wagon Loaders by designation, that the names of the several petitioners appear in the list of permanent workmen, that the management altered to the prejudice of the several petitioners their conditions of service applicable to them by changing their piece rated permanent jobs of wagon loaders to that of daily rated workmen, that new persons had been appointed in their place, that this alteration had taken place with a view to victimise them, that they are not guilty of any misconduct, and that suitable relief should be granted to them.

3. The contention on behalf of the management is, that there is no contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, that it is not true, that the several applicants had suffered any monetary damage by reason of their transfer, or that their conditions of service have been altered to their prejudice, that the several applicants have been getting the same benefits as before, and that the several workmen are not entitled to any relief.

4. The issues, that arise for determination, are:—

- (1) Whether there is a contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act?
- (2) Whether these several petitions are maintainable?
- (3) Whether there has been alteration of the conditions of service applicable to the workmen, as alleged by them?
- (4) To what relief, if any, are the petitioners entitled?

Issues Nos. 1—3:

5. These several petitions have been tried together at the request of the parties, and they are disposed of by a common judgment.

6. The several petitioners are employed in the Palana Colliery, Palana. Their case is, that they have been doing the job of wagon loaders, and included in the list of permanent workmen from a long time, and that they have been designated by the management as daily rated mason mazdoors.

7. It is admitted on behalf of the management, that these several workmen were working as piece rated workers. The contention on behalf of the workmen is, that, as piece rated workers, their wages were liable to increase according to the output they gave, that the work-load had been fixed for them, that payment is made on a wagon basis, that, according to the award relating to Collieries, the Wagon Loaders are placed in Category No. IV, and that it is not open to the management to convert them into daily rated workers. Attention is drawn to paragraph 765 of the original award. It is argued, that, as piece rated workers, the several petitioners are entitled to guaranteed minimum wages, that, as daily rated workers, they would lose the guaranteed minimum, and that this has the effect of adversely affecting their earnings. There is considerable force in the contention on behalf of the workmen, and there is no justification for converting the petitioners, who are all piece rated workmen, into daily rated workmen. Mr. Fell for the management stated in the course of arguments that these several petitioners had been put back to wagon loading. I find, that there has been an alteration in the conditions of service applicable to the workmen, to their prejudice that there is a contravention by the management of Section 33(1)(a) or (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, and that these several petitions are maintainable under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act.

Issue No. 4

8. The workmen are entitled to be put back in the Category of Wagon Loaders, and treated as piece rated workers so far as their emoluments are concerned.

9. In the result, the several petitions are allowed and each of the petitioners will be put back into the Category of permanent piece rated wagon loaders, and paid as such. They will be removed from the category of daily rated workmen. There will be no order as to costs in all the several petitions.

10. An award is passed in each of the several petitions in the above terms.

(Four pages)

11th April, 1959.

E. KRISHNA MURTI,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi.

[No. LRII-4(14)/59.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 23rd April 1959

S.O. 983.—Whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the South Bulliari Kendwadih Colliery and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of Shri B. Pandey, chaprasi, was justified. If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. LRII/2(179)58.]

S.O. 984.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New India Assurance Company Limited and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, Chairman, Labour Appellate Tribunal, as the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Bombay and refers the said dispute to the said Tribunal for adjudication.

SCHEDULE

1. Whether the management was justified in retrenching 35 workmen (List attached) and if not, to what relief they are entitled?

2. Whether the retrenchment of the workmen referred to above has resulted in increasing the workload of 97 workmen (List attached) and, if so, to what relief they are entitled?

LIST

Names of workmen retrenched

Sl. No.	Name	Where employed
1.	Shri R. D. Mobodji	Head Office
2.	" N. A. Chavan	Head Office
3.	" N. G. Belsare	Head Office
4.	" P. V. Gokhale	Head Office
5.	" M. G. Vargese	Head Office
6.	" S. P. Amin	Head Office
7.	" A. A. Shaikh	Head Office
8.	" M. R. Mondhar	Head Office
9.	" R. V. Gokhale	Head Office
10.	" R. S. Patil	Head Office
11.	" V. R. Padiyar	Head Office
12.	" D. V. Karmaker	Head Office
13.	" S. V. Pradhan	Head Office
14.	" V. N. Shanbaug	Head Office
15.	" S. A. Borker	Head Office
16.	" N. D. Tendulkar	Head Office
17.	" S. R. Mallapur	Head Office
18.	" B. B. Rane	Head Office
19.	" J. N. Dabholkar	Head Office
20.	" B. C. Dalal	Head Office
21.	" R. M. Kapadia	Head Office
22.	" M. G. Parekh	Head Office
23.	" M. A. Shringarpure	Head Office
24.	" M. S. Boho	Head Office
25.	" R. Benkatachari	Head Office
26.	" M. S. Kumtekar	Head Office
27.	" T. C. George	Head Office
28.	" M. R. Patwardhan	Head Office
29.	" S. M. Naik	Head Office
30.	" P. V. Menon	Head Office
31.	" L. K. Iyer	Head Office
32.	" K. V. Jacob	Head Office
33.	" E. S. Bhoja	Head Office
34.	" K. S. Bhatia	Kanpur Branch
35.	" K. M. Satho	Sholapur Branch

List of workmen whose work load had been increased

Sl. No.	Name	Where employed
1.	Shri D. A. Kapadia	Machine Department
2.	" S. N. Dighe	"
3.	" V. S. Narayanan	"
4.	" P. M. Mehta	"
5.	" K. S. L. Char	"
6.	" D. R. Dhighe	"
7.	" I. T. Madhani	"
8.	" A. J. Kapadia	"
9.	" S. S. Parlikar	"
10.	" P. K. Vaidya	"
11.	Kumari S. V. Kirtikar	"
12.	Shri C. V. Rao	"
13.	" R. G. Desai	"
14.	" R. M. Dhighe	"
15.	" G. J. Chhaya	"
16.	" Y. K. Nachne	"
17.	" T. V. Lakshmanan	"
18.	" N. V. Munshi	"
19.	" N. R. Gandhi	"
20.	" H. P. Malkani	"
21.	" B. H. Marwar	"
22.	" L. S. Murthy	"
23.	" H. M. Parckh	"
24.	" D. R. Raje	"
25.	" S. J. Shah	"
26.	" K. B. Sharma	"
27.	" V. M. Datar	"
28.	" H. M. Desai	"
29.	" S. P. Gulati	"
30.	" S. D. Joshi	"
31.	" D. P. Kshirsagar	"
32.	Kumari H. S. Munshi	"
33.	Shri S. A. Shringarpure	"
34.	" A. T. Dsilva	"
35.	" S. M. Dias	"
36.	Kumari N. S. Harpalan	"
37.	Shrimati N. S. Navani	"
38.	Shri N. S. Kulkarni	"
39.	" J. N. Menceze	"
40.	" D. G. Patil	"
41.	" K. M. Arvind Pillai	"
42.	Shrimati P. M. Mansukhani	"
43.	Shri S. A. Deshmukh	"
44.	" S. P. Deshmukh	"
45.	" M. W. Deshpande	"
46.	" T. Fernandesh	"
47.	" A. Y. Godambe	"
48.	" G. D. Gune	"
49.	" H. D. Gupta	"
50.	" V. P. Kadam	"
51.	" K. D. Kamdar	"
52.	" S. G. Patil	"
53.	" D. S. Samant	"
54.	" C. Sekharan	"
55.	" S. B. Vadhykar	"
56.	Kumari S. L. Wadhvani	"
57.	Shri J. A. Xavier	"
58.	" Mangat Ram	"
59.	" S. M. Didhe	"
60.	" M. R. Raje	"
61.	" A. K. Tamhane	"
62.	" K. B. Dighe	"
63.	" S. R. Chandras	"
64.	" S. Chakraborty	"

Sl. No.	Name	Where employed
65.	V. G. Tengahe	Accounts Department
66.	B. R. Wari	"
67.	H. G. Ganatra	"
68.	R. R. Divekar	"
69.	A. K. Narayanan	"
70.	V. N. Shukla	"
71.	B. C. Khambatta	"
72.	N. W. Dharwadkar	"
73.	G. W. Nadkarni	"
74.	Kumari Khabarkar M. C.	"
75.	Shri S. J. Forebes	Share Department
76.	S. R. Bath na	"
77.	Homi N. Darooowala	"
78.	Dali F. Balsara	"
79.	Rusi R. Mistry	"
80.	I. Usuf	"
81.	S. G. Wadawkar	"
82.	S. B. Prabhu	"
83.	A. R. Akherekar	"
84.	Shantaram S. Kaluskar	"
85.	Sherry	"
86.	S. B. Kazi	"
87.	N. B. Khambatta	"
88.	R. N. Rao	"
89.	Ghaduhy	Personnel Department
90.	Edwankar	"
91.	M. P. Desai	Aviation Department
92.	M. V. Joshi	"
93.	Gajuwala	"
94.	G. Subramaniam	"
95.	S. Vaidyanathan	"
96.	Kotwal	Machinery Department]
97.	Benkatadri	"

[No. LR II 11(25)/58.]

S.O. 985.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Hingir Rampur Colliery of Hingir Rampur Coal Company Ltd. P.O. Brajrajnagar, Orissa and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of the sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

THE SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of the following 32 workmen by the management of the said colliery was justified; if not to what relief they are entitled:—

1. Shri Murari Patra
2. Shri Puran Gond
3. Shri Chirko Bhaina
4. Shri Bandhu Ganda
5. Shri Santhu Dhobi
6. Shri Bijlal Ganda
7. Shri Khatak Bhaina
8. Shri Khendu Ganda
9. Shri Bulchu Kalar
10. Shri Antaram Teli
11. Shri Swarna Ganda
12. Shri Indraman Svc
13. Shri Kartik Raut
14. Shri Bhika Ganda
15. Shri Brindaban Ganda

16. Shri Kunjaram Bhaina
17. Shri Barna Ganda
18. Shri Mansai Ganda
19. Shri Loknath Binjhal
20. Shri Mohitram Ganda
21. Shri Jogeswar Satnami
22. Shri Bairagi Ganda
23. Shri Thalu Ganda
24. Shri Hirau Satnami
25. Shri Sukritdas Panika
26. Shri Amardas Panika
27. Shri Badhu Kurmi
28. Shri Dirjo Ganda
29. Shri Bande Dhirma
30. Shri Malikram Satnami
31. Shri Durjan Ganda
32. Shri Bundram Bhuiyan

[No. LR-II/1(89)/58.]

New Delhi, the 27th April 1959

S.O. 986.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Lloyds Bank Limited, New Delhi, and their employees in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal with headquarters at Delhi, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether Shri Kanwar Singh employed in the Lloyds Bank Limited, Chandni Chowk, Delhi, is entitled to the allowance for 'Naiks' as provided in paragraph 169 of the Sastry Award.

[No. LR-II-10(37)/59.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 24th April 1959

S.O. 987.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers mentioned in Schedule I hereto annexed and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in Schedule II hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE I

1. Shri S. C. Ghose, for M/s. Alex Miller (Shipchandlers) Private Limited, 7-Old Court House Corner, Calcutta.
2. Shri S. Sinha, for M/s. River Transport and Trading Co., 3, Mangoe Lane, Calcutta.
3. Shri Nur Mohammed Khan, Incharge for M/s. Sirajuddin Brothers (D. D. G. Hazra), 36, Lower Chitpur Road, Calcutta.
4. Shri S. C. Dutta for M/s. Calcutta Watchmen Suppliers, 13/A, St. George's Terrace, Hastings, Calcutta-32.
5. Shri Dal Bahadur Gurung, 55 Husain Shah Road, Calcutta-23.

- 6 Shri Lalian Pandey, G I 88, Shamlal Lane, Garden Reach Road, Calcutta
- 7 M/s G Laurie & Co, 3, Mangoe Lane Calcutta
- 8 Shri Imdad Ali, 25, Circular Garden Reach Road, Calcutta
- 9 Shri R L Sharma, 54, Haisava Street, Calcutta-23
- 10 M/s Roy Chowdhury & Co, Me cantile Building, Top Floor, Lalbazar, Calcutta
- 11 Shri Motilal Sharma, 34, M C Ghose Lane, Tikiapara, Howrah
- 12 M/s P Mukerjee & Co, 19, Strand Road, Calcutta-1
- 13 M/s Naresh Nath Mookerjee, 6 Chive Row, Calcutta
- 14 M/s B M Mookerjee & Co., 74, Bentinck Street, Calcutta
- 15 M/s D N Bose, P 83, Lake Road, Calcutta-29
- 16 M/s Maritime Supply Agency, P 25/1, Mansatala Lane, Calcutta-23
- 17 Shri Manu Ahmed, 4, Esplanade Moorings, Calcutta
- 18 Shri Abdul Hakim, 70/3, Diamond Harbour Road, Calcutta
- 19 Shri Manlall Gurung, 88, Pipe Road, Calcutta-23
- 20 M/s T Lall & Co, Private Ltd, 12-A, Rangalal Street, Calcutta-23
- 21 Shri Dhan Bahadur Gurung, 19/3, Munshiganj Road, Calcutta-23

SCHEDULE II

- 1 Uniform rates of wages
- 2 Issue of photo identity cards
- 3 Uniforms
- 4 Hours of work and payment of overtime
- 5 Holidays and leave with pay

[No LRIV-28(7)/59]

ERRATUM

New Delhi, the 22nd April 1959

S.O. 988.—Whereas the amendments to the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957, published with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour & Employment No GSR 284 dated the 31st January, 1959, at page 353 of Part II—Section 3(i) of the Gazette of India dated the 7th March, 1959, have already been notified in the Gazette of India,

Now, therefore, the Central Government hereby cancels the notification No GSR 284 aforesaid.

[No LR-I-1(39)/58]

A L HANDA Under Secy

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 25th April 1959

S.O. 989.—In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of rule 25 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby nominates Shri M. Satyanarayana, a member of the Board of Film Censors, to be a member of the Revising Committee and any Special Revising Committee that may be constituted under the said rule 25 at Madras

[No 5/12/58-FC]

S.O. 990.—In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of rule 25 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby nominates Dr N K. Sidhanta, a member of the Board of Film Censors, to be a member of the Revising Committee and any Special Revising Committee that may be constituted under the said rule 25 at Calcutta.

[No 5/12/58-FC.]

V KUMAR, Dy Secy.